U.S. DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

LISA LAMBERT,

\*NO.:

Plaintiff

\*C.A. 96-247 - ERIE

1

SUPERINTENDENT,

vs

WILLIAM WOLFE, et.al.,\*

Defendants

\*

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

DEPOSITION OF

RONALD R. LAZENBY

JUNE 9, 1998

Any reproduction of this transcript is prohibited without authorization by the certifying agency.

## Case 1:96-cv-00247-SJM Document 64142-Page #ed 12/15/2006 Page 2 of 28

|  | 171.141   |   |   |         |
|--|---|---|---|---------|
|  | Page  | z   |   | Page    |
| 1  | DEPOSITION  | 1   | INDEX   |         |
| 2  | OF  | 2   |   |         |
| 3  | RONALD R. LAZENBY, taken on behalf of the   | 3   | WITNESS: ROWALD R. LAZENBY                        |         |
| 4  | Plaintiff herein, pursuant to the Rules   | 4   | BOITANIMAXS                                       |         |
| 5  | of Civil Procedure, taken before me, the  | 5   | By Accorney Krakoff 7 - 147                       |         |
| 6  | undersigned, Shannon C. Hagerty, a Court  | 6   | HOITANIMAXS                                       |         |
| 7  | Reporter and Notary Public in and for the   | 7   | By Actorney Love 147 - 154                        |         |
| В  | Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, at  | 8   | EXAMINATION                                       |         |
| 9  | Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Department  | 9   | By Attorney Halloran 154 - 155                    |         |
| 10   | of Corrections, SC1-Cambridge Springs,  | 10  | CERTIFICATE 156                                   |         |
| 11   | Cambridge Springs, Pennsylvania, on   | 11  |   |         |
| 12   | Tuesday, June 9, 1998, at 2:30 p.m.   | 12  |   |         |
| 13   |   | 13  |   |         |
| 14   |   | 14  |   |         |
| 15   |   | 15  |   |         |
| 16   |   | 16  |   |         |
| 17   |   | 17  |   |         |
| 18   |   | 18  |   |         |
| 19   |   | 19  |   |         |
| 20   |   | 20  |   |         |
| 21   |   | 21  |   |         |
| 22   |   | 22  |   |         |
| 23   |   | 23  |   |         |
| 24   |   | 24  |   |         |
| 25   |   | 25  |   |         |
|  |   | _   |   |         |
|  | Page 3  |   |   | Page 5  |
| ,  | Page 3<br>APPEARANCES   |   | EXHIBIT PAGE                                      | Page 5  |
| 1 2  | Page 3  | 1   |   | Page 5  |
| 2  | APPEARANCES   |   |   | Page 5  |
| 2  | APPEARANCES ANGUS R. LOVE, ESQUIRE  | 1<br>2<br>3   | EXHIBIT PAGE                                      | Page \$ |
| 3  | APPEARANCES ANGUS R. LOVE, ESQUIRE 924 Cherry Steet   | 1<br>2<br>3   | EXHIBIT PAGE                                      | Page 5  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | APPEARANCES AMOUS R. LOVE, ESQUIRE 924 Cherry Steet Suite 523   | 1<br>2<br>3   | EXHIBIT PAGE  PAGE  NUMBER DESCRIPTION IDENTIFIED | Page \$ |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5   | APPEARANCES  ANGUS R. LOVE, EGOUIRE  924 Cherry Steet  Suite 523  Philadelphia, PA 19107  | 1<br>2<br>3<br>4  | EXHIBIT PAGE  PAGE  NUMBER DESCRIPTION IDENTIFIED | Page 5  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | APPEARANCES AMOUS R. LOVE, ESQUIRE 924 Cherry Steet Suite 523   | 1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5                                       | EXHIBIT PAGE  PAGE  NUMBER DESCRIPTION IDENTIFIED | Page 5  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   | APPEARANCES  ANGUS R. LOVE, ESQUIRE  924 Cherry Steet  Suite 523  Philadelphia, PA 19107  COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF   | 1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7                             | EXHIBIT PAGE  PAGE  NUMBER DESCRIPTION IDENTIFIED | Page 5  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | APPEARANCES  ANGUS R. LOVE, ESQUIRE  924 Cherry Steet  Suite 523  Philadelphia, PA 19107  COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF  JERE KRAKOFF, ESQUIRE  | 1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8                        | EXHIBIT PAGE  PAGE  NUMBER DESCRIPTION IDENTIFIED | Page 5  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | APPEARANCES  ANGUS R. LOVE, ESQUIRE  924 Cherry Steet  Suite 523  Philadelphia, PA 19107  COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF  JERE KRAKOFF, ESQUIRE  1705 Allegheny Building   | 1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9                   | EXHIBIT PAGE  PAGE  NUMBER DESCRIPTION IDENTIFIED | Page 5  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | APPEARANCES  ANGUS R. LOVE, ESQUIRR  924 Cherry Steet  Suite 523  Philadelphia, PA 19107  COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF  JERE KRAKOFF, ESQUIRE  1705 Allegheny Building  Pittsburgh, PA 15219   | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 111                                    | EXHIBIT PAGE  PAGE  NUMBER DESCRIPTION IDENTIFIED | Page 5  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | APPEARANCES  ANGUS R. LOVE, ESQUIRE  924 Cherry Steet  Suite 523  Philadelphia, PA 19107  COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF  JERE KRAKOFF, ESQUIRE  1705 Allegheny Building   | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12                                  | EXHIBIT PAGE  PAGE  NUMBER DESCRIPTION IDENTIFIED | Page 5  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12                                     | APPEARANCES  ANGUS R. LOVE, ESQUIRE  924 Cherry Steet  Suite 523  Philadelphia, PA 19107  COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF  JERE KRAKOFF, ESQUIRE  1705 Allegheny Building  Pittsburgh, PA 15219  COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF  | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13                               | EXHIBIT PAGE  PAGE  NUMBER DESCRIPTION IDENTIFIED | Page 5  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13                               | APPEARANCES  ANGUS R. LOVE, ESQUIRE  924 Cherry Steet  Suite 523  Philadelphia, PA 19107  COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF  JERE KRAKOFF, ESQUIRE  1705 Allegheny Building  Pittsburgh, PA 15219  COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF  | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14                            | EXHIBIT PAGE  PAGE  NUMBER DESCRIPTION IDENTIFIED | Page 5  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14                         | APPEARANCES  ANGUS R. LOVE, ESQUIRE  924 Cherry Steet  Suite 523  Philadelphia, PA 19107  COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF  JERE KRAKOFF, ESQUIRE  1705 Allegheny Bullding  Pittaburgh, PA 15219  COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF  TBOMAS BALLORAM, ESQUIRE  St. Deputy Attorney General   | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15                         | EXHIBIT PAGE  PAGE  NUMBER DESCRIPTION IDENTIFIED | Page 5  |
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14                         | APPEARANCES  ANGUS R. LOVE, ESQUIRE  924 Cherry Steet  Suite 523  Philadelphia, PA 19107  COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF  JERE KRAKOFF, ESQUIRE  1705 Allegheny Building  Pittsburgh, PA 15219  COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF  TROMAS BALLORAM, ESQUIRE  51. Deputy Attorney General  Litigation Section   | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16                      | EXHIBIT PAGE  PAGE  NUMBER DESCRIPTION IDENTIFIED | Page 5  |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 5 15 16 1 17 6  | APPEARANCES  ANGUS R. LOVE, ESQUIRE  924 Cherry Steet  Suite 523  Philadelphia, PA 19107  COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF  JERE KRAKOFF, ESQUIRE  1705 Allegheny Building  Pittsburgh, PA 15219  COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF  TECHOS HALLORAN, ESQUIRE  51. Deputy Attorney General  Litigation Section  Sth Ploor - Manor Complex  | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17                   | EXHIBIT PAGE  PAGE  NUMBER DESCRIPTION IDENTIFIED | Page 5  |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 5 16 17 6 18 18 5 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 | APPEARANCES  ANGUS R. LOVE, ESQUIRE  924 Cherry Steet  Suite 523  Philadelphia, PA 19107  COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF  JERE KRAKOFF, ESQUIRE  1705 Allegheny Bullding  Pittaburgh, PA 15219  COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF  TECROS BALLORAM, ESQUIRE  St. Deputy Attorney General  Litigation Section  Sth Floor - Manor Complex  564 Forbes Avenue   | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18                | EXHIBIT PAGE  PAGE  NUMBER DESCRIPTION IDENTIFIED | Page 5  |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 5 16 11 17 6 18 19 19 18                                    | APPEARANCES  ANGUS R. LOVE, ESQUIRE  924 Cherry Steet  Suite 523  Philadelphia, PA 19107  COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF  JERE KRAKOFF, ESQUIRE  1705 Allegheny Building  Pittsburgh, PA 15219  COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF  TECHOS HALLORAM, ESQUIRE  51. Deputy Attorney General  Litigation Section  5th Ploor - Manor Complex  164 Forbes Avenue  Pittsburgh, PA 15219   | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19             | EXHIBIT PAGE  PAGE  NUMBER DESCRIPTION IDENTIFIED | Page 5  |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 5 16 17 6 18 5 19 19 18 20                               | APPEARANCES  ANGUS R. LOVE, ESQUIRE  924 Cherry Steet  Suite 523  Philadelphia, PA 19107  COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF  JERE KRAKOFF, ESQUIRE  1705 Allegheny Building  Pittsburgh, PA 15219  COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF  TECHOS HALLORAN, ESQUIRE  51. Deputy Attorney General  Litigation Section  Sth Ploor - Manor Complex  964 Forbes Avenue  Pittsburgh, PA 15219  COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS   | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20          | EXHIBIT PAGE  PAGE  NUMBER DESCRIPTION IDENTIFIED | Page 5  |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 15 16 17 18 15 19 18 20 21                               | APPEARANCES  ANGUS R. LOVE, ESQUIRE  924 Cherry Steet  Suite 523  Philadelphia, PA 19107  COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF  JERE KRAKOFF, ESQUIRE  1705 Allegheny Building  Pittaburgh, PA 15219  COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF  THOMAS HALLORAM, ESQUIRE  51. Deputy Attorney General  Litigation Section  5th Ploor - Manor Complex  164 Forbes Avenue  Pittaburgh, PA 15219  COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS   | 1 2 3 4 5 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21     | EXHIBIT PAGE  PAGE  NUMBER DESCRIPTION IDENTIFIED | Page 5  |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 5 16 11 17 6 18 20 21 22 2                                  | APPEARANCES  ANGUS R. LOVE, ESQUIRE  924 Cherry Steet  Suite 523  Philadelphia, PA 19107  COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF  JERE KRAKOFF, ESQUIRE  1705 Allegheny Building  Pittsburgh, PA 15219  COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF  TECHAS HALLORAN, ESQUIRE  5c. Deputy Actorney General  Litigation Section  5th Floor - Manor Complex  164 Forbes Avenue  Pittsburgh, PA 15219  COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS  ALSO FRESENT: Deputy Victoria L. Rozmanic  | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22    | PAGE NUMBER DESCRIPTION IDENTIFIED NONE MARKED .  | Page 5  |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 5 16 1 17 6 18 19 19 12 20 21 22 7 23                       | APPEARANCES  ANGUS R. LOVE, ESQUIRE  924 Cherry Steet  Suite 523  Philadeiphia, PA 19107  COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF  JERE KRAKOFF, ESQUIRE  1705 Allegheny Building  Pittsburgh, PA 15219  COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF  TECHNAS HALLORAN, ESQUIRE  Sc. Deputy Attorney General  Litigation Section  Sch Ploor - Manor Complex  364 Foxbes Avenue  Pittsburgh, PA 15219  COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS  ALSO PRESENT: Deputy Victoria L. Kormanic | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | PAGE NUMBER DESCRIPTION IDENTIFIED NONE MARKED .  | Page 5  |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 5 16 11 17 6 18 20 21 22 2                                  | APPEARANCES  ANGUS R. LOVE, ESQUIRE  924 Cherry Steet  Suite 523  Philadelphia, PA 19107  COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF  JERE KRAKOFF, ESQUIRE  1705 Allegheny Building Pittsburgh, PA 15219  COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF  THOMAS HALLORAM, ESQUIRE  5c. Deputy Attorney General Litigation Section 5th Ploor - Manor Complex  564 Forbes Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15219  COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS  ALSO PRESENT: Deputy Victoria L. Kormanic      | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22    | PAGE NUMBER DESCRIPTION IDENTIFIED NONE MARKED .  | Page 5  |

Page 10 Page 12 1 Correctional Facility in Mercer, PA. 1 people to shifts and stuff like that. Where were you before you came to 2 Q. Now, before becoming Intelligence 3 Cambridge Springs? 3 Captain, had you had any direct 4 A. I came from SCI-Waynesburg, I 4 involvement in investigations at 5 worked there from February of '88, to the 5 Cambridge Springs? And I'm not limiting 6 time I came in here which was February of 6 it to investigations of alleged sexual 7 misconduct on the part of staff members. 8 Q. All right. And then you were 8 A. Before I became Intelligence 9 somewhere before that? 9 Captain? No, basically no. That would 10 A. Yeah, I started my career in 10 have been the --- at first it was the 11 December of 1973 until February of '88 at 11 security lieutenant then the Intelligence 12 SCI-Pittsburgh. I was there, what, 14 12 Captain. No, it'd still be no. 13 years, 15 years, something like that. 13 Q. Now, did you receive --- how much 14 O. And did you make your way up from 14 notice did you have that you were going 15 a CO eventually to a captain? 15 to be appointed captain, intelligence 16 A. Yes, I did. 16 captain? 17 Q. Now, it's my understanding that 17 A. They brought me up here, I'd like 18 at one time you were the Intelligence 18 to say, sometime late March, early April 19 Captain here at Cambridge Springs? 19 and just said it's your --- you know, 20 you're going to be --- now be 20 A. That is correct. 21 Q. And when did you assume that 21 Intelligence Captain. Bartlett will be 22 the captain of the guards. 22 position? 23 O. So you switched positions 23 A. It would be somewhere late March 24 of 1995 until I left in October of '97. 24 essentially? 25 Q. I understand that you succeeded 25 A. Yes. Page 11 Page 13 1 Captain Bartlett? 1 Q. And how much --- you learned that 2 one day and how much after that did you 2 A. That's correct. 3 O. Do you know who succeeded you? 3 begin to function as the intelligence There was an acting Captain Beck 4 captain? According to what period of 5 took my place. That's my understanding. 5 time? 6 it was. 6 A. We had like a transitional 7 period. Where he put me up to speed what 7 O. Beck? 8 he was, you know, what he was doing and 8 A. Beck. 9 told me to look over his files and stuff 9 O. And he had been Lieutenant Beck 10 at one point here at Cambridge Springs? 10 like that. 11 A. Yes, yes. My understanding was 11 Q. Did you receive any training 12 either on the job or more formal training 12 he was an acting captain. He took my 13 off site or on site in the nature of kind 13 position when I left. 14 of classroom instruction? 14 Q. Now, at the time you were 15 A. Oh, I'll go back. I have a 15 appointed to the position as Intelligence 16 Captain, what had your assignment been? 16 degree in ---17 O. We'll get to that. 17 A. I was basically captain of the 18 guard. 18 A. Okay. 19 O. But let me narrow it because that 19 Q. And just very generally what did 20 was too broad of a question. And then 20 your function as captain of the guard A 189 21 I'd like you to continue with what you 21 include? And I don't need a lot of 22 were saying. With respect to the new 22 detail 23 position as intelligence captain, did you 23 A. Yeah. Basically, I oversaw the 24 receive any training either on site or 24 lieutenants to make sure they were at 25 off site? 25 their jobs and staff. And assigned

|    |   | Multi-  | Pa   | ge <sup>™</sup>                           |         |
|----|---|---------|------|---|---------|
|    |   | Page 14 |      |   | Page 16 |
| 1  | A. No.                                    |         | i    | you to be there during at least a portion |         |
| 2  | Q. But I take it that you had some,       | l       | 2    | of Wolanin's interrogation?               |         |
| 3  | what you consider to be some background   |         | 3    | A. No, it was not.                        |         |
| 4  | to prepare you for that position?         |         | 4    | Q. Could you summarize for me what        |         |
| 5  | A. Any experience as you grow             |         | 5    | your function was in connection with      |         |
| 6  | what 24 or what was it 23 years, you      |         | 6    | investigations of and I'm going to        |         |
| 7  | know, you pick it up watching other       |         | 7    | give you a definition so that you         |         |
| 8  | people do it.                             |         | 8    | understand what I'm asking. I gave        |         |
| 9  | Q. Right. Okay. Now, after                |         | 9    | Lieutenant Bartlett the same definition.  |         |
| 10 | assuming your position, did you receive   | 1       | 10   | What I'm going to be asking you about are |         |
| 11 | any training?                             | 1       | 11   | either allegations or investigations of   |         |
| 12 | A. I had to after. Some formal            | 1       | 12 - | alleged sexual abuse or sexual            |         |
| 13 | training, it would have been September,   | ı       | 13 + | exploitation. And I when I'm asking       |         |
| 14 | 1997 and what I picked up from Special    | 1       | 14   | about that, I'm asking about abuse and    |         |
| 15 | Investigator Mike Wolanin and I sort of   | ]       | 15   | exploitation by a staff member which can  |         |
| 16 | he's the professional. And so when        |         | 16   | include either a CO or somebody in the    |         |
| 17 | he came in to talk I would watch what he  | 1       | 17   | trades or                                 |         |
| 18 | would do and we'd go over stuff, how he   | . 1     | 18 . | A. I'm confused but go ahead and          |         |
| 19 | did things.                               | !       | 19   | I'll try to work with it.                 |         |
| 20 | Q. Now, did you, when Wolanin was         | 1       | 20   | Q. Let me define what I mean by           |         |
| 21 | conducting investigations at Cambridge    | 1       | 21.  | sexual abuse and sexual exploitation.     |         |
| 22 | Springs, in the course of those           | 2       | 22   | This phrase encompasses activities such   |         |
| 23 | investigations, did you ever observe him  | 1       | 23   | as the touching of breasts by Cambridge   |         |
| 24 | while he interviewed either staff member  | 2       | 24   | Springs personnel, buttocks, legs and     |         |
| 25 | or inmates?                               | 2       | 25   | other private parts of the body of an     |         |
|    |   | Page 15 | -    |   | Page 17 |
| 1  | A. Yes, I did.                            |         | 1    | inmate. The kissing, caressing or         |         |
| 2  | Q. Now, let me represent to you what      |         |      | fondling of an inmate. Attempts by        |         |
| 3  | Lieutenant, what I believe Lieutenant     |         | 3    | prison personnel to force or encourage    |         |
| 4  | Bartlett told me and ask you whether your |         | 4    | inmates to engage in sexual acts either   |         |
|    | experience ordinarily differed from his.  | :       | 5    | by words, threats or physical force. Do   |         |
|    | I asked Lieutenant Bartlett whether, when |         | 6    | you understand that definition?           |         |
| 7  | Mr. Wolanin was interviewing either       |         | 7.   | A. Yes.                                   |         |
| 8  | witnesses or a person about whom          |         | 8    | Q. So that the definition is far          |         |
| 9  | allegations had been made, that it was    |         | 9 1  | broader than sexual intercourse.          |         |
| 1  | Wolanin's practice not to have Lieutenant | 1       | 10 . | A. Absolutely.                            |         |
| 1  | or Captain Bartlett in the room with him. | ]       | 11   | Q. And when I ask you I may also          |         |
| 1  | Wolanin's practice, in Captain Bartlett's | ]       | 12   | use a short term phrase which I used when |         |
| 1  | experience, was to conduct the interviews | E .     |      | questioned Lieutenant Bartlett.           |         |
|    | with Bartlett elsewhere, not inside the   | ľ       |      | Instead of always repeating sexual abuse  |         |
| 1  | room. Now, I gathered from your response  |         |      | and exploitation I would use something    |         |
|    | to my previous question that wasn't quite |         |      | ike sexual misconduct or allegations of   |         |
| 1  | your experience; is that right?           |         |      | sexual misconduct. They're                |         |
|    | A. No, sometime what we'd do              |         |      | nterchangeable.                           |         |
| 1  | we'd start out, he'd do the interviewing. | +       | 19 . |   | A 190   |
|    | I would put my input into it. And if we   | 12      | 20 i | n case.                                   |         |
|    | got to a point where he felt my being     | 2       | 21 ( | Q. Yes, and I'd be glad to review         |         |
|    | there, he couldn't get what he was        | 2       | 22 1 | that definition with you again either now |         |
|    | looking for I would, he would sometimes   | 2       | 23 ( | or later.                                 |         |
|    | ask me to leave the room.                 | 2       | 24 . | A. Okay.                                  |         |
| 25 | Q. So it wasn't that uncommon for         | 2       | 25 ( | Q. Do you want me to review it now?       |         |
|    | - 14 D 17                                 |         |      |   |         |

| Г     | Page 1                                    | $\neg \neg$ | age age age                               |         |
|-------|---|-------------|---|---------|
| ,     | Page 1<br>A. No, it's okay.               |             | went to him and it filtered down through  | Page 20 |
| 1     | Q. Now, have you brought any              | ı           | me. I never got anything directly from    |         |
|       | institutional files with you today?       |             | the OPR's office that said do this. It    |         |
| 1     | A. No, I'm no longer at the               | 1           | would come from the Superintendent's      |         |
| 1     | institution. I no longer have access to   |             | office.                                   |         |
| 1     | any files:                                |             | 5 Q. And OPR is just a new way of         |         |
| 1     | Q. Have you reviewed any                  |             | referring to                              |         |
| 1     | institutional files including but not     | ŀ           | 3 A. Office of Professional               |         |
| 1     | limited to investigative files,           | - 1         | Responsibilities.                         |         |
| 1     | <del>-</del>                              | -           | •   |         |
| ı     | misconduct records, fact-finding          | 1           | Q. OSI or something?                      |         |
| 1     | notes of fact-finding sessions or any     | - 1         | A. Office of Special Investigations.      |         |
| ı     | other documents before this deposition?   |             | They just changed the name recently.      |         |
|       | A. I talked to Mr. Halloran and a         | 1           | Q. That's correct. Now, am I              |         |
|       | few light things. Nothing big.            | 1           | are there occasions where you, meaning    |         |
| 1     | Q. I have no right to even inquire        | 1           | you or your lieutenant or others on your  |         |
|       | about I don't want to inquire about       | 1           | behalf conducted an investigation on the  |         |
|       | what you discussed with him. I might      | 1           | local level without the assistance of     |         |
| i     | have a right.                             | 1           | anybody from the Central Office?          |         |
|       | A. I didn't look at the file, you         | 19          | A. Yes, we have.                          |         |
|       | know what I mean?                         | 1           | Q. And were there occasions when the      |         |
|       | Q. Now, have you reviewed any             | 1           | Central Office conducted an               |         |
|       | portions of the transcript of the         |             | investigation, by Central Office you know |         |
|       | depositions of Deputy Kormanic or         | 1           | what I mean?                              |         |
|       | Superintendent Wolfe? I'll represent to   | 1           | A. Yes, I understand.                     |         |
| 25    | you that I deposed him about a year ago.  | 25          | Q. Was the Central Office conducting      |         |
|       | Page 19                                   |             | P   | age 21  |
| I     | A. No, I have not in either case.         | 1           | an investigation without any              |         |
| 2     | Anybody's.                                | 2           | participation on the part of local        |         |
| 3     | Q. Now, who at the prison level           | 3           | people, other than acting as a liaison or |         |
| 4     | and I'm always going to be asking, if I   | 4           | facilitator?                              |         |
| 5     | speak in present tense, I know you're     | 5           | A. Not to my memory, no.                  |         |
| 6     | gone from there but sometimes I may use   | 6           | Q. Were there occasions when the          |         |
| 7     | the present. You understand that means    | 7           | Central Office did conduct investigations |         |
| 8     | when you were here?                       | 8           | into alleged sexual misconduct in the     |         |
| 9     | A. Yes.                                   | 9           | part of a staff member against an inmate? |         |
| 10    | Q. Who at the prison level had the        | 10          | A. You mean had they come in here         |         |
| 11    | authority to either order or authorize an | 11          | and done the                              |         |
|       | investigation into possible sexual abuse  | 12          | Q. Yes.                                   |         |
|       | or exploitation on the part of Cambridge  | 13          |   |         |
|       | Springs personnel?                        |             | Q. And I take it that on the              |         |
| 5 .   |   |             | occasions that they came here they were   |         |
| 6 (   |   |             | assisted by members of your staff?        |         |
|       | occasions where somebody at the Central   |             | Either you or?                            |         |
|       | Office level either Mr. Wolanin or        |             | A. Yes, we usually help.                  |         |
|       | others, Mr. Davis or others at the        |             | Q. And what was the nature of the         |         |
|       | Central Office contacted you and either   |             | assistance that either you or members of  |         |
|       | ordered or asked you to conduct an        |             | the Cambridge Springs staff forwarded the |         |
|       | nvestigation, or did that always come     |             | Central Office investigators? A 191       |         |
|       | hrough the Superintendent?                |             | A. If I understand your question,         |         |
|       | A. It always came through the             |             | basically, we collected maybe statements  |         |
| · • / | i. It aiways came unough the              | -T          | ousients, we obtioned mayor summittees    |         |

25 Superintendent. You know, whether they

25 and that and handed everything over to

|  | Multi-Page "                                |         |
|--|---|---------|
| and the state of t | Page 26                                     | Page 2  |
| 1 was something was happening. If they   | 1 A. Yes.                                   |         |
| 2 were exonerated and nothing took place   | 2 Q. And what was his position when         |         |
| 3 and they didn't have any PDC you knew  | 3?  |         |
| 4 that they were   | 4 A. He was a maintenance                   |         |
| 5 Q. You draw the inference?   | 5 superintendent, I believe was his title.  |         |
| 6 A. Yeah, you draw the conclusion   | 6 Q. Are you aware of any                   |         |
| 7 that there was nothing there.  | 7 investigation occurring about Carl        |         |
| 8 Q. Now, what about when are you  | 8 Zimmerman's relationship with Cambridge   |         |
| 9 ever aware of a situation where the  | 9 Springs                                   |         |
| 10 conclusion of the investigation was that  | 10 A. I heard the rumors.                   |         |
| 11 a staff member had engaged in sexual  | 11 Q with a Cambridge Spring                |         |
| 12 misconduct?   | 12 inmate or inmates?                       |         |
| 13 A. Not quite I don't understand   | 13 A. I had heard the rumors.               |         |
| 14 the question. If you could?   | 14 Q. Are you aware of what happened to     |         |
| 15 Q. Are you aware of any staff member  | 15 Mr. Zimmerman?                           |         |
| 16 from 1992 until the time you assumed your   | 16 A. No.                                   |         |
| 17 duties as an intelligence captain, were   | 17 Q. You know at some point there came     |         |
| 18 you aware of either the Central Office or   | 18 a time where he no longer worked here?   |         |
| local investigators concluding that a  | 19 A. Yes.                                  |         |
| 20 member of the staff had engaged in sexual   | 20 Q. Do you know whether he resigned       |         |
| 21 misconduct?   | 21 or whether he was discharged?            |         |
| 22 A. Yes.   | 22 A. I have no idea.                       | •       |
| 23 Q. And how did you become aware of  | 23 Q. You had heard rumors that he was      |         |
| 24 that?   | 24 being investigated?                      |         |
| 25 A. Basically, you just hear rumors  | 25 A. Yes, like I said people talk.         |         |
|  | Page 27                                     | Page 29 |
| l going on. Nobody actually came to me and   | 1 But I wasn't made privy to the            | Tuge 27 |
| 2 said, we're doing an investigation on  | 2 investigation or anything else.           |         |
| 3 such and such this person. But, you  | 3 Q. And so with respect to Carl            |         |
| 4 know, you see things going on. You hear  | 4 Zimmerman, you never received any         |         |
| 5 rumors.  | 5 information of a solid nature that there  |         |
| 6 Q. And nobody came to you and said   | 6 had been any sort of a determination that | ,       |
| 7  | 7 he had engaged in sexual misconduct?      |         |
| 8 A. No.   | 8 A. No, I never saw anything.              |         |
| 9 Q such and such we found that  | 9 Q. According to the rumor mill were       |         |
| 10 Mr. X had engaged in sexual misconduct?   | 10 any inmates mentioned in connection with |         |
|  | 11 Carl Zimmerman?                          |         |
| 11 A. No, they didn't come and tell me   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·       |         |
| 12 that.   | 12 A. Oh, I'm sure there were but I         |         |
| 13 Q. To your knowledge was this   | 13 have no idea who they would be.          |         |
| 14 published, you know, in the form of a   | 14 Q. You don't have any okay.              |         |
| 15 memo or anything else of that sort to the   | 15 A. No, I wouldn't.                       |         |
| 16 staff?  | 16 Q. Paul Walton?                          |         |
| 17 A. No, not to my knowledge.   | 17 A. Yes.                                  |         |
| 18 Q. Now, okay, let me throw these  | 18 Q. Are you familiar with him being       |         |
| 19 names let me preface this with  | 19 investigated?                            |         |
| 20 this is information that has been related   | 20 A. Yes, I was.                           |         |
| 21 to me from various sources. And then  | 21 Q. Did this happen during the time       | ļ       |
| 22 when I ask you whether you heard anything   | 22 that you were the intelligence captain?  |         |
| 23 about that doesn't necessarily mean that  | 23 A. No. A 192                             |         |
| 24 it occurred. Okay. Does the name Carl   | 24 Q. Before right?                         |         |
| 25 Zimmerman sound familiar?   | 25 A. Before.                               |         |
|  | P 26  | Dogg 70 |

| Γ | Page 3                                    | 4   |            |  | Page 30 |
|---|---|-----|------------|--|---------|
|   | Q. But there was something that in        |     | 1          | Q. I can't                               | i ugo o |
|   | your mind led some credence to            |     | 2 .        | A. Okay. I'm on the same page.           |         |
|   | allegations that Eicher had somehow?      |     | 3 -        | Q. Can you flip through these pages,     |         |
|   | A. Yes, that there was inappropriate      | 1.  | 4          | 29 onward, and tell me were there any of |         |
|   | behavior. At the time, that's was what    |     |            | ?  |         |
|   | my feeling was.                           | 1,  | 6 .        | A. That does not look familiar.          |         |
|   | Q. And now that ultimately then, was      | ].  | 7 (        |  |         |
|   | the at the time that you spoke with       | 1   | 8 4        |  |         |
|   | Lisa was there an ongoing investigation?  |     |            | Q. Now, do you recall investigating      |         |
|   | A. Not to my knowledge.                   | - 1 |            | or recommending an investigation of      |         |
|   | Q. Did a new investigation begin          |     |            | Eicher in connection with any other      |         |
|   | after you saw the calendar?               |     |            | women, another Cambridge Spring woman?   |         |
|   | A. Yes, yes.                              | - 1 |            | A. Not that I remember, no.              |         |
|   |   |     |            | Q. Let me give you some names and        |         |
|   |   | 1   |            | see if any if you might have, Paula      |         |
|   | A. Basically,                             | - 1 |            | <del>-</del>                             |         |
|   | Q. I know you told me you got some        | 1   |            | Hoover?                                  |         |
|   | information                               | - 1 | 7 A        |  |         |
|   | A. Yes, and I notified the                |     | 8 (        |  |         |
|   | Superintendent. I believe it was one or   | 1   | 9 4        |  |         |
|   | two days after that I went to National    |     | ) <b>(</b> | •  |         |
|   | Guard. I was in the Pennsylvania          | Ì   | l A        |  |         |
|   | International Guard. I went to summer     | 1   |            | Q. Another staff member, Jim Merry,      |         |
|   | camp out in Nevada and I never saw        | 1   |            | do you know anything about his being     |         |
|   | anything more about it but it was the end | 1   |            | investigated?                            |         |
| 5 | of mine and OPR came in and Lieutenant    | 25  | i А        | A. Yeah, I caught the very end.          |         |
|   | Page 35                                   | İ   |            |  | Page 37 |
| 1 | Beck. And I was never involved in it      | ] 1 | Т          | That was already an ongoing or they had  |         |
| 2 | again.                                    | 2   | ! a        | already did something with it because I  |         |
| 3 | Q. Did Lieutenant Beck on the             | 1   |            | remember about the time I took over I    |         |
| 4 | institutional level have some             | 1   |            | vent out with Michael Wolanin to         |         |
| 5 | involvement?                              | 5   | ir         | nterview a person. He wanted to take me  |         |
| 6 | A. Yes, he did. That's my                 | 6   | i u        | ip and show me how, you know, the police |         |
| 7 | understanding any ways. Evidently,        | 7   | p          | nowers to track this person down.        |         |
| 8 | you're looking for the she said she       | 8   | Q          | Q. Now, was this person a former         |         |
|   | had more because I asked her, do you have | 9   | S          | staff member or current staff member?    |         |
|   | anymore. She said she had more. Her       | 10  | Α          | A. No, it was somebody that owned a      |         |
|   | attorney had it and she was trying to get | 1   |            | rung fu shop that Merry had used to      |         |
|   | transferred and all that. I said, Lisa,   | 1   |            | Merry, he was a member of it and he was  |         |
|   | you know, I'm not in a position I         | 1   |            | using allegedly using the phone at       |         |
|   | can't make deals.                         | I . |            | his person's to call, I think, Maysonet. |         |
|   | Q. Okay.                                  | 1   |            | 'm not sure what sister. I'm not sure.   |         |
|   | A. If there was more evidence than        | 1   |            | Like I said, I caught the very end of    |         |
|   | that, I never did see it.                 | 1   |            | hat.                                     |         |
|   | Q. I'm going to come back to the          | I   |            | ). Do you recall any investigation       |         |
|   |   | 1   | _          | of Merry in connection with Carmella     |         |
|   | Lambert situation a little later. Let me  | 1   |            | · •                                      |         |
|   | refer you to Exhibit 27. And you have a   | i i |            | 7 A 100                                  |         |
|   | copy of that there. You'll notice I have  | '   | A.         |  |         |
|   | the numbers of the pages on the bottom    | 22  | •          | •  |         |
|   | (indicating). If you can turn to page     | 23  |            |  |         |
| r | 29?                                       |     |            | e was already gone by the time when      |         |
| _ |   |     |            |  |         |

25 I got involved I think it was April.

25 A. Does it start off with I ---?

Multi-Page™

|     | Mult   | i-Page <sup>™</sup>                          |         |
|-----|--|--|---------|
|     | Page 38  |  | Page 40 |
| 1   | Q. He was already gone?  | 1 are you aware of any allegations of his    |         |
| 2   | A. He was already gone.  | 2 being involved in any sexual misconduct    |         |
| 3   | Q. Were you told by anybody in the   | 3 toward an inmate?                          |         |
| 4   | administration why he was gone?  | 4 A. I had heard it or something but         |         |
| 5   | A. Not to my knowledge. I don't  | 5 I'm not even sure when I heard it.         |         |
| 6   | remember actually coming and saying this   | 6 Q. Do you remember what you heard?         |         |
| 7   | is why Officer Merry is gone, no.  | 7 A. No.                                     |         |
| 8   | Q. Do you recall any more  | 8 Q. And I take it you're not aware of       |         |
| 9   | generalized announcement to other staff  | 9 what inmate or inmates he was allegedly -  |         |
| 10  | members indicating why Merry was gone?   | 10   |         |
| !   | A. No, if you're asking if they put  | 11 A. No, I don't remember details at        |         |
|     | out for publication to let people know.  | 12 all. Like I said, you hear stuff.         |         |
| 1   | No, that's never been the practice.  | 13 Q. Bob Rogers, did you hear anything      |         |
| 14  | Q. To do that?   | 14 about him?                                |         |
| i   | A. To publish, yeah, to publish  | 15 A. Not right off the top of my head,      |         |
| 1   | stuff like that.   | 16 no. He was a lieutenant here.             |         |
| 17  | Q. Roger Beck, is that Captain Beck  | 17 Q. Is he still here?                      |         |
|     | now?   | 18 A. No.                                    |         |
|     | A. No, he's a Lieutenant. He was   | 19 Q. Do you know why he left?               |         |
| 1   | acting. Now, he's back to Lieutenant   | 20 A. He asked to be transferred. He         |         |
| ł   | Beck.  | 21 took a demotion and transferred to        |         |
| 1   | Q. Are you aware of his being  | 22 Mercer. He works at Mercer with me.       |         |
|     | investigated at any point in connection  | 23 Q. Wayne Young, plumbing trades           |         |
|     | with alleged sexual misconduct?  | 24 instructor, I understand?                 |         |
| 1   | A. No.   | 25 A. Yeah, there was something about        |         |
|     | Page 39  |  | Page 41 |
| 1   | Q. Do you know an inmate by the name   | 1 it. I don't remember well. I don't know    | J       |
|     | of Marjoline DeBello?  | 2 if it was any sexual allegations or just   |         |
| 1   | A. The name's familiar. I do not   | 3 bringing stuff in for inmates. I'm not     |         |
| 1 - | know, you know, the name. I do not know  | 4 sure what it is right off the top of my    |         |
|     | the inmate personally.   | 5 head.                                      |         |
| 1   | Q. Jerome Coffee, are you aware of   | 6 Q. When you assumed your position or       |         |
|     | his being investigated?  | 7 at anytime after you assumed it during     |         |
| 1   | A. No.   | 8 that approximately two-year period, were   |         |
|     | Q. Were you aware of any rumors  | 9 you ever told either by the                |         |
|     | about his being involved with any of the   | 10 administration here at Cambridge Springs  |         |
| I . | prisoners?   | 11 or the Central Office that bringing       |         |
| l . | A. Seems like there was something  | 12 about the significance if any, of a staff |         |
| ł   | and I don't know I know it was looked  | 13 member bringing in gifts for an inmate?   |         |
| į   | into. But I remember something about it  | 14 A. That's been since my since I           |         |
|     | but I didn't care to know.   | 15 started with the department back in '73.  |         |
| 16  |  | 16 That has always been a no-no.             |         |
| 17  |  | 17 Q. Were you told why?                     |         |
| 18  |  | 18 A. I'm sure I was but common sense        |         |
| l   | A. Her name sounds familiar but  | 19 would tell you that, you know, it's       |         |
| 20  | DEPUTY KORMANIC:   | 20 inappropriate to bring things for         |         |
| 21  | Marissa.   | 21 inmates. It's been longstanding with the  |         |
| 22  |  | 22 department.                               | A 194   |
|     | Diaz.  | 23 Q. Did you in any of the                  |         |
|     | BY ATTORNEY KRAKOFF:   | 24 investigations that you conducted, did    | •       |
|     | Q. What about Phillip David Schmidt,   | 25 any of those investigations involve, I'm  |         |
|     | The second secon |  |         |

| Multi-Page <sup>™</sup>                      |  |         |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---------|--|--|--|--|
| P  | age 46   | Page 48 |  |  |  |  |
| I Q. You don't remember; is that             | 1 an investigation or  |         |  |  |  |  |
| 2 right?                                     | 2 Q. Do you know? I don't want you to  |         |  |  |  |  |
| 3 A. It would yeah, really.                  | 3 guess.   |         |  |  |  |  |
| 4 Q. Were you a friend of Officer            | 4 A. It was a lot of times that I sit  |         |  |  |  |  |
| 5 Raun's?                                    | 5 in on meetings. If it was particulars, I   |         |  |  |  |  |
| 6 A. No, co-worker.                          | 6 couldn't tell you. It was particulars I  |         |  |  |  |  |
| 7 Q. You didn't socialize with him?          | 7 couldn't there were a lot times I sit  |         |  |  |  |  |
| 8 A. No.                                     | 8 in on meetings.  |         |  |  |  |  |
| 9 Q. Did you at any point in 1993,           | 9 Q. Do you know whether this meeting  |         |  |  |  |  |
| 10 call Lisa to your office to speak with    | 10 concerned either Raun's alleged behavior  |         |  |  |  |  |
| 11 her about the Raun situation?             | 11 toward Lisa Lambert or Lisa Lambert's   |         |  |  |  |  |
| 12 A. Did I call for her? No, I              | 12 alleged behavior toward Raun?   |         |  |  |  |  |
| 13 wouldn't have been involved in it.        | 13 A. No, I don't remember.  | -       |  |  |  |  |
| 14 Q. Did you have her brought to the        | 14 Q. Do you recall Lisa Lambert crying  |         |  |  |  |  |
| 15 office?                                   | 15 at that time?   |         |  |  |  |  |
| 16 A. Not that I remember.                   | 16 A. I don't remember Lisa Lambert  |         |  |  |  |  |
| 17 Q. Did Bartlett, while he was in the      | 17 ever crying.  |         |  |  |  |  |
| 18 office have Lisa Lambert brought to your  | 18 Q. Never?   |         |  |  |  |  |
| 19 office?                                   | 19 A. No, not right off the top of my  |         |  |  |  |  |
| 20 A. He could have. That would have         | 20 head, no.   |         |  |  |  |  |
| 21 been more appropriate for him to call her | 21 Q. Do you recall getting angry at   |         |  |  |  |  |
| 22 call her over, if he was involved in      | 22 Lisa Lambert?   |         |  |  |  |  |
| 23 the investigation than me.                | 23 A. I don't get angry at anybody.  |         |  |  |  |  |
| 24 Q. Do you recall a meeting, I'm told      | 24 Q. Well, whether you were angry or  |         |  |  |  |  |
| 25 that it was Bartlett's office. Do you     | 25 not, did you become harsh in your?  |         |  |  |  |  |
|  | THE RESERVE OF THE PROPERTY OF |         |  |  |  |  |
|  | age 47   | Page 49 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 recall meeting in Bartlett's office,       | 1 A. No, I wouldn't. That's not my   |         |  |  |  |  |
| 2 where Bartlett and you and Raun were       | 2 personality.   |         |  |  |  |  |
| 3 present and Lisa Lambert was also          | 3 Q. Are you aware of Bartlett being   |         |  |  |  |  |
| 4 present?                                   | 4 investigated in relation to allegations  | •       |  |  |  |  |
| 5 A. There's a possibility.                  | 5 that he hadn't conducted an inadequate   |         |  |  |  |  |
| 6 Q. And can you tell me what you            | 6 investigation into the Raun situation?   |         |  |  |  |  |
| 7 recall about that possible meeting? I'd    | 7 A. No. No, there would have been   | -       |  |  |  |  |
| 8 like you to think very carefully about it  | 8 I wouldn't have done it. We wouldn't   |         |  |  |  |  |
| 9 and as much detail as possible and tell    | 9 investigate each other. They would have  |         |  |  |  |  |
| 10 me what you recall?                       | 10 brought someone in from outside if it   |         |  |  |  |  |
| 11 A. I can't think, Raun, even if he        | 11 took place.   |         |  |  |  |  |
| 12 brought her or had her called over.       | 12 Q. Did you at any point warn or   |         |  |  |  |  |
| 13 Basically, if he if you were talking      | 13 caution or tell Lisa Lambert that she   |         |  |  |  |  |
| 14 to an inmate about other staff, you'd     | 14 better not get Raun into any trouble?   |         |  |  |  |  |
| 15 want like a witness, to make sure, you    | 15 A. No.  |         |  |  |  |  |
| 16 know, nothing was misunderstood. Again,   | 16 Q. Were you at any point ever   |         |  |  |  |  |
| 17 you didn't want to get caught in a        | 17 interviewed as part of an investigation   | •       |  |  |  |  |
| 18 situations where they could accuse you of | 18 of Officer Raum's alleged behavior toward   |         |  |  |  |  |
| 19 doing something. But if you're talking    | 19 Lisa Lambert?   |         |  |  |  |  |
| 20 about exactly what took place, no, I'm    | 20 A. No, no.  |         |  |  |  |  |
| 21 not sure.                                 | 21 Q. Were you ever interviewed as part  |         |  |  |  |  |
| 22 Q. Do you remember generally what         | 22 of an investigation of Captain Bartlett?  | A 195   |  |  |  |  |
| 23 took place?                               | 23 A. Not that I would know.   | ,,,,,,  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 A. If it was Lisa Lambert, there was      | 24 Q. Now, are you aware of an Officer   | f       |  |  |  |  |
| 25 an investigation. It probably had to be   | 25 by the name of Emmanuel Montegjo?   |         |  |  |  |  |

Page 50 Page 52 Yes. ΙA. I investigation associated with Hammers? Did you hear of any allegations 2 A. 3 of any sexual misconduct on his part What about a staff member by the 4 toward staff --- toward inmates? 4 name of Randolph? 5 A. Not by your definition, no. 5 A. Yes. 6 Maybe inappropriate behavior, you hear Do you recall, was he an officer? 7 the stuff. It was ---. No, he was a maintenance person, 8 Q. Just a rumor? 8 an electrician. 9 A. Yeah, I don't remember going 9 Q. And did you hear any allegations 10 anywhere with it. 10 of sexual misconduct on his part? 11 O. What about Bill Free? 11 A. No, they weren't sexual in 12 A. Nothing sexual I remember. 12 nature. 13 Q. Anything in connection with 13 0. What about Lieutenant Mort? 14 either Lisa Lambert or an inmate by the 14 A. I was --- I heard them. That's 15 name of LeAnn Jafka? 15 about it. 16 A. No, not that I recall, no. What were the allegations? 16 Q. 17 O. Had you heard anything of a non-17 A. I'm not even sure what they were. 18 sexual relation that related with his 18 He like resigned. He come in on Sunday 19 dealings with inmates? 19 or Saturday and resigned on a Monday. 20 A. No. 20 Q. Jennifer Langford; did you hear 21 any allegations about her? 21 Q. Are you aware of a person, 22 laundry supervisor here at the prison one 22 A. Something about it. I couldn't 23 time, his name was Requine? 23 ---24 A. Requine? 24 Q. You don't remember what? 25 A. No. 25 Q. Yes. Page 51 Page 53 1 0. Martin Miller? 2 Q. R-E-Q-U-I-N-E or something like Yes, I'm aware of that. 3 Q. Were you involved in 3 that. 4 A. Yes, I'm not sure how the 4 investigating him? 5 spelling is. Yes, he was --- he worked 5 A. Yes, I was, along with OPR or I 6 in the laundry. 6 helped OPR. 7 Q. And Linda Bisch, from dietary? 7 Q. At any point, did you hear that 8 he was under investigation for alleged 8 A. I heard about that one. I wasn't 9 sexual misconduct? 9 involved in it. 10 A. No, no. 10 Q. What did you hear about that? 11 A. Something with an inmate. 11 Q. Do you know the circumstances of 12 why he left here? 12 O. Was it of a sexual nature or 13 A. He really had bad health. 13 romantic nature? 14 A. Maybe romantic nature. I don't 14 Q. Bad health? 15 remember hearing anything sexual about 15 A. Uh-huh (yes). I think he's dead. 16 He might be deceased by now, I'm not 16 it. 17 O. Lisa Strickland? That doesn't ring a bell at all. 18 O. What about Richard Hammers? Do 18 A. 19 you recall anything about his being 19 **O**. Bruce Allen? 20 A. I heard something about him. He 20 investigated or allegations made about 21 him? 21 resigned. I don't think his was --- he 22 was involved in anything. 22 A. Allegations but I can't remember A 196 23 Q. CO Lofton? 23 right off the top of my head what they 24 A. Yes. 24 were. What did you hear about him, if 25 Q. You weren't involved in the 25 Q.

|     | Case 1.90-CV-00247-SJIVI                         | Multi    |     |   | 1 20    |
|-----|--|----------|-----|---|---------|
| Γ   |  | Page 54  | T   | -3-                                       | Page 56 |
| ١,  | anything?  | 1450 5 . | 1   | ATTORNEY KRAKOFF:                         |         |
| 1   | A. I'm trying to think if I did the              |          | 2   | That's right. Whether                     |         |
|     | investigation. If I remember right, he           |          | 1   | the Superintendent ever expressed         |         |
| 1   | was he wanted to make a phone call to            |          | 1   | to him                                    |         |
| 1   | an inmate or something like that. It was         |          | 5   | ATTORNEY HALLORAN:                        |         |
| 1   | nothing sexual.                                  |          | 6   | One way or the other.                     |         |
|     | Q. Okay.   |          | 7   | ATTORNEY KRAKOFF:                         |         |
|     |  |          | 8   | one way or the other                      |         |
| _   | A. And I could be  Q. Was she a former inmate or |          | 1 - | how significant or how prevalent          |         |
|     | current inmate?                                  |          | 1   |   |         |
| 1 - | · •  |          | 1   | he thought the problem was.               |         |
| l l | A. Former.                                       |          | 1   | A. Not to my knowledge, no.               |         |
|     | Q. You mean he tried to make a phone             |          | 1   | BY ATTORNEY KRAKOFF:                      |         |
| 1   | call from  |          | 1   | Q. Okay.                                  |         |
|     | A. If I remember, yeah. He was                   |          | 1   | A. I mean he didn't sit down and we       |         |
|     | trying   |          | 1   | have a serious problem and if that's      |         |
| 1   | Q from here?                                     |          | 1   | what you're asking. No, he never          |         |
|     | A. No, he was going to have her                  |          | 1   | discussed that kind of stuff with me.     |         |
| 18  | contact him.                                     |          | 1   | Q. Did he ever indicate to you            |         |
| 19  | Q. Okay.   |          | 1   | without using an adjective, I mean, did   |         |
| 20  | A. And that could be I'm trying                  |          | 20  | he indicate to you in other ways the      |         |
| 21  | to think if that's the guy.                      |          | 21  | extent, if any, to which he thought it    |         |
| 22  | Q. Maybe not?                                    |          | 22  | was a significant problem?                |         |
| 23  | A. No. Yeah.                                     |          | 23  | ATTORNEY HALLORAN:                        |         |
| 24  | Q. Now, did you have any discussion              |          | 24  | I'm going to object to                    |         |
| 25  | with Superintendent Wolfe in connection          |          | 25  | the form of the question. You             |         |
| Г   |  | Page 55  |     |   | Page 57 |
| 1   | with how he viewed the level of sexual           | •        | 1   | can't the word significant                | _       |
| 2   | abuse or exploitation at the prison?             |          | i i | has two different meanings. You           |         |
| 1   | A. He would not tolerate it                      |          |     | can use it in two different ways.         |         |
| ì   | whatsoever. He actively went after               |          | l   | BY ATTORNEY KRAKOFF:                      |         |
| 1   | people, had me actively go after people.         |          | l   | Q. The same question that I asked         |         |
|     | Q. Did he ever indicate to you or in             |          | l   | originally about Superintendent Wolfe     |         |
|     | your presence how significant he thought         |          | l   | about whether he ever indicated the       |         |
| į.  | the scope of any problem was associated          |          | 1   | magnitude of the problem in his mind to   |         |
|     | with sexual abuse or exploitation of             |          | 1   | you. Did Deputy Kormanic?                 |         |
|     | inmates?   |          | 1   | A. No.                                    |         |
| l   | A. I'm not sure what you're asking.              |          | 1   | Q. What about Deputy Utz?                 |         |
| 1   |  |          |     | · -                                       |         |
| i   | Q. Well, did he ever say like                    |          |     | A. No, I didn't have much contact         |         |
| 1   | I'm trying to get a sense of how serious         |          |     | with Deputy Utz.                          |         |
|     | he thought the problem was of sexual             |          |     | Q. Did Keith Bartlett during that         |         |
|     | misconduct on the part of officers toward        | :        | l   | transition period ever indicate to you    |         |
| 1   | inmates, which could be anything from            |          | Į.  | how significant he thought the problem    |         |
|     | zero to a hundred.                               |          | 17  | was?                                      |         |
| 18  | ATTORNEY HALLORAN:                               |          |     | A. No. Not to my knowledge, no.           |         |
| 19  | I think he already                               |          |     | Q. How long did that transition           |         |
| 20  | answered the question, that he                   |          |     | period last?                              | A 197   |
| 21  | thought he said he wouldn't                      |          |     | A. It was like two or three weeks.        |         |
|     | tolerate it. What you're trying                  |          |     | You have to go back once you're doing     |         |
|     | to ask him now is how prevalent                  |          |     | something like that and you're, you know, |         |
|     | he felt the problem was. Which                   |          |     | what's going on, if I was reading         |         |
| 25  | is a different                                   |          | 25  | something or heard something, have you    |         |

| M  | ulti-Page™                                   |          |
|--|--|----------|
| Page   | e 62   | Page 64  |
| when they expressed it. Do you recall        | I that time period?                          |          |
| 2 any memos or written documents, where      | 2 A. It doesn't ring a bell, no.             |          |
| 3 they expressed a view of what they         | 3 Q. Now, do you recognize the               |          |
| 4 thought the magnitude of what the quote,   | 4 printing or do you believe that you        |          |
| 5 the problem was?                           | 5 recognize the printing?                    |          |
| 6 A. Not that I ever read, no.               | 6 A. No, I just know it's not mine.          |          |
| 7 Q. Let me refer you to Exhibit 27,         | 7 I'm not a very good printer.               |          |
| 8 which is in the first volume.              | 8 Q. Now, let me refer you to Exhibit        |          |
| 9 A. I'm on the page.                        | 9 125. It's the very last document.          |          |
| 10 Q. This concerns an investigation of      | 10 A. Yes.                                   |          |
| 11 James Eicher.                             | 11 Q. This is a document dated the 6th       |          |
| 12 A. Yes.                                   | 12 of May 1995.                              |          |
| 13 Q. And the second page, where it          | 13 A. Uh-huh (yes).                          |          |
| 14 says synopsis. Michael Wolanin states     | 14 Q. 6th of May 1995. It's apparently       |          |
| 15 that quote, this investigation was        | 15 from J. Metzger is that Officer Jill      |          |
| 16 authorized by Vaughn L. Davis, Director   | 16 Metzger?                                  | 1        |
|  | 17 A. I'm not it's a female                  |          |
| 17 of Special Investigations Office, IO on   |  |          |
| 18 May 24, 1995, predicated by memo to the   | 18 officer.                                  |          |
| 19 Director from Captain Ronald Lazenby,     | 19 Q. And do you see on the very top of      |          |
| 20 State Correctional Institution Cambridge  | 20 the right hand corner it says cc Lazenby? |          |
| 21 Springs.                                  | 21 A. That's correct.                        |          |
| 22 So does this refresh your                 | 22 Q. And also S-U-P-T, which I assume       |          |
| 23 recollection that during your tenure      | 23 is superintendent?                        |          |
| 24 there was an investigation of Eicher?     | 24 A. Uh-huh (yes).                          |          |
| 25 A. Yes.                                   | 25 Q. And you'll see on the second page      |          |
| Page   | j.   | Page 65  |
| 1 Q. Okay.                                   | ! of this document, which I think might be   | ļ        |
| 2 A. But I wasn't involved in it.            | 2 the same, looks similar.                   |          |
| 3 Q. And this makes reference to a           | 3 A. Yes, it does.                           |          |
| 4 memo and you'll see on page five, if you   | 4 Q. Now that you see Exhibit 125, do        |          |
| 5 turn to page five. Do you see where it     | 5 you have a recollection of ever reviewing  |          |
| 6 says details? You'll see the last line.    | 6 this document?                             |          |
| 7 It says a copy of Lazenby's memo is        | 7 A. No.                                     |          |
| 8 appended as attachment number one.         | 8 Q. You became the intelligence             |          |
| 9 A. Yes.                                    | 9 captain at the end of March, so you        |          |
| 10 Q. And then if you turn to page 15        | 10 A. Yes.                                   | <u> </u> |
| 11 of this Exhibit, you'll see on pages 15   | 11 Q by May 6th, you would have              | -        |
| 12 and 16 a two-page document which is       | 12 been the intelligence?                    |          |
| 13 identified as attachment one.             | 13 A. That's correct.                        |          |
| 14 A. Yes, but that's not mine.              | 14 Q. Were you working at the prison on      |          |
| 15 Q. That's not yours?                      | 15 or about the 6th of May 1995?             |          |
| 16 A. No.                                    | 16 A. I'd have to look at a calendar.        |          |
| 17 Q. Okay.                                  | 17 Q. I mean, you weren't?                   |          |
| 18 A. It's not my handwriting.               | 18 A. Yeah, I was here. If it was the        | l        |
| 19 Q. Why don't you look at the              | 19 next day or something I got vacation.     | ļ        |
| 20 information and tell me whether you       | 20 Q. You'll notice it says actions          | l        |
| 21 remember any of this information coming   | 21 taken. It says, reviewed, previously      | ļ        |
| 22 to your attention in some other form?     | 22 brought to my attention and turned over   |          |
| 23 Well, had you seen these                  | 23 to Deputy Kormanic and Captain Lazenby.   |          |
| 24 allegations in either this document or in | 24 A. Yes.                                   | , 400    |
|  |  | A 198    |

25 Q. This is signed by Lieutenant

25 some other document more or less during

| Foster?  |             | Case 1.90-cv-00247-SJIVI Document Will              | 4-  | Pageiled 12/15/2006 Page 13 01 26         |         |
|--|-------------|---|-----|---|---------|
| 2 chain of distribution when the Office of 3 Q. Do you have any recollection of 4 Lieutenaut Foster giving you a document 5 of this sert? 5 of No, not right off the top of my 7 head. I did not always get things that 8 were — that would be ce'd to me. I 9 just didn't get them all the time. 10 Q. So — you can see the various 11 officers and other personnel who were 12 named in this two-page attachment to 13 Officers and other personnel who were 13 not not go in connection with Lisa Lambert, 15 Q. — that these concerned Elicher, 16 not only in connection with Lisa Lambert, 17 but other allegations that Elicher bad 18 contact with other female prisoners in 19 1993 and 1994; sin't that —? 20 A. That's what it says. 21 Q. Is any that what it says. 22 Q. And this document also reflects 24 A. West, that's what it says. 25 Q. And this document also reflects 26 that there were other officers other than 27 Eicher who allegedly had contact with 28 Eicher who allegedly had contact with 29 Q. Right. 30 A. No, it wouldn't come to pus? Then 3 how would you — was there some other 3 key what you had become aware of the 9 report? 30 A. No, times the Superintendent 31 officers and other personnel who were 31 shered the information with me. 32 Q. Do you have any recollection of 31 receiving a capy of this report? 31 investigate it so I really, you know — 31 investigate it so I really, you know — 32 A. That's what it says. 32 Q. And this document also reflects 34 hat correct? 34 A. Yes. 35 Hat's what it says. 36 Q. Stay. 37 A. Some of it says physical contact. 38 Contact with a say of the person with Deput Kormanic; is 2 that correct? 39 Q. Right. 30 A. No, tike I said, didn't 30 Hat's a read of the person with Deput Kormanic; is 2 that correct? 30 A wave of the fact that an investigation on the content of the correct of the c |             | _   | - 1 |   | Page 68 |
| 3 Special Investigations issued a report of 4 this sort?   5 of this sort?   5 of this sort?   5 of this sort?   5 of No, not right off the top of my   5 pead. I did not always get things that   8 were  | 1.          |   |     |   |         |
| A Liculenant Poster giving you a document   5 of this sort?   5    | 2 .         | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·               |     |   |         |
| 5 of this sort? 6 A. No, not right off the top of my 7 head. I did not always get things that 8 were — that would be cc'd to me. I 9 just didn't get them all the time. 10 Q. So — you can see the various 11 officers and other personnel who were 12 named in this two-page attachment to 13 Officer Metzger's report, — 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. — that these concerned Eicher, 16 not only in connection with Lisa Lambert, 17 but other allegations that Eicher had 18 contact with other female prisoners in 19 1993 and 1994; isn't that —? 20 A. Thaf's what it says. 21 Q. Isn't that what it says? 22 A. Yes, that's what it says. 23 Q. And this document also reflects 24 that there were other officers other than 25 Eicher who allegedly had contact with 25 Sure what they meant by contact. 3 Correct? 4 A. Well, it says contact. I'm not 5 Sure what they meant by contact. 4 Q. Okay. 5 Q. Right. 6 Q. It wouldn't come to me. 7 Now would you — was there some other 8 way that you had become aware of the 9 report? 10 A. Not unless the Superintendent 11 shared the information with me. 12 Q. Do you have any recollection of 13 receiving a copy of this report? 14 A. No. Like I said, I didn't 15 investigate it so I really, you know — 16 Q. Not that what it says. 21 Q. Isn't that what it says? 22 A. Yes, that's what it says. 23 Q. And this document also reflects 24 A. Well, it says contact. I'm not 25 Eicher who allegedly had contact with 26 Cokay. 27 A. Some of it just says alleged contact. 28 Some of it just says alleged contact. 39 Q. Right. 40 A. It doesn't, no. 41 Q. But in any event, this 41 information just never came to you 42 A. Well of that or — 43 anyway? 44 A. It doesn't, no. 45 Q. How did you become aware of that? 46 A. It could have been placed — no. 47 Q. What about the report itself, which 48 did contain that memo on September 11, 49 (10 Like) it that jou had contact. 40 Q. Well, would you have received a 41 Subart and the information with me. 41 San | 1           |   | - 1 | - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   |         |
| 6 A. No, not right off the top of my 7 head. I did not always get things that 8 were—that would be ce'd to me. I 9 just didn't get them all the time. 10 Q. So — you can see the various 11 officers and other personnel who were 12 named in this two-page attachment to 13 officers and other personnel who were 13 named in this two-page attachment to 14 officers and other personnel who were 15 named in this two-page attachment to 16 officers and other personnel who were 17 on the thing of the top of the top of the province of the property of the total property of the total property of the total property of the property o | 1           | _   | -   |   |         |
| 7 how would you — was there some other   8 way that you had become aware of the   9 report?     8 way that you had become aware of the   9 report?     9 Q. So you can see the various   10 A. Not unless the Superintendent   11 shared the information with me.     12 named in this two-page attachment to   13 Officer Metzger's report,   14 A. Not. Unless the Superintendent   11 shared the information with me.     12 Q. Do you have any recollection of   13 receiving a copy of this report?   14 A. Not. Like I said, I didn't   15 investigate it so I really, you know   15 (0. Right. And I take it that you   1 have no recollection of over discussing   18 the incidents that were alleged in the   19 Metzger memo with Deputy Kormanic; is   10 M               | -           |   | - 1 |   |         |
| 8 were   |             | _   | ĺ   | _   |         |
| 9 just didn't get them all the time. 10 Q. So you can see the various 11 officers and other personnel who were 12 named in this two-page attachment to 13 officers and other personnel who were 14 named in this two-page attachment to 13 officers and other personnel who were 14 named in this two-page attachment to 13 officers and other personnel who were 14 named in this two-page attachment to 13 officers and other personnel who were 14 named in this two-page attachment to 15 officers officers report, 16 not only in connection with Lisa Lambert, 17 hut other allegations that Eicher had 18 contact with other female prisoners in 19 1993 and 1994; isn't that? 20 A. That's what it says. 21 Q. Isn't that what it says. 22 Q. And this document also reflects 24 hat there were other officers other than 25 Eicher who allegedly had contact with 26 Lisa there were other officers other than 27 ocornect? 28 a well, it says contact. I'm not 29 ocornect? 20 Did you at some point become 21 aware of the fact that an investigation 22 ocornect? 23 aware of the fact that an investigation 24 conduct data in 1995 that Eicher had 25 capaged in misconduct, sexual misconduct 26 of an absive nature toward Lisa Lambert? 27 A. Some of it just says alleged contact. 28 Simon of it just says alleged contact. 29 Q. Right. 20 Q. Right. 30 Did take were officers other than 25 Eicher who allegedly had contact with 26 Did the cornect? 27 Q. Did you at some point become 28 aware of the fact that an investigation 29 C. Gight. 30 Did you at some point become 3 aware of the fact that an investigation 4 concluded that in 1995 that Eicher had 5 capaged in misconduct, sexual missconduct 6 of an absive nature toward Lisa Lambert? 7 A. Was I made aware of it, yes. 3 Q. Right. 3 SHORT BREAK 4 Well it ske abreak. 3 SHORT BREAK 5 Concluded that in 1995 that Eicher had 5 concerd? 4 A. Well, it desen't, no. 4 Concluded              | 1           |   | - 1 | -   |         |
| 10 Q. So you can see the various   10 A. Not unless the Superintendent   11 shared the information with me.   12 Q. Doy on have any receillection of   13 receiving a copy of this report?   14 A. Yes.   14 A. No. Like I said, I didn't   15 investigate it so I really, you know   16 not only in connection with Lisa Lambert,   16 Q. Right. And I take it that you   17 have no recollection of ever discussing   18 to entact with other female prisoners in   19 1993 and 1994; isn't that?   19 Metzger memo with Deputy Kormanic; is   20 that correct?   21 A. No, I don't remember.   22 Q. Okay.   22 Q. Okay.   23 A. That's what it says.   22 Q. Vary.   24 A. Yes, that's what it says?   23 A. Ath's document also reflects   24 that there were other officers other than   25 Eicher who allegedly had contact with   24 We'll take a break.   25 SHORT BREAK   29 Okay.   20 Okay.   20 Okay.   20 Okay.   21 A. No, I don't remember.   22 Q. Okay.   23 ATTORNEY KRAKOFF:   24 We'll take a break.   25 SHORT BREAK   26 We'll take a break.   27 SHORT BREAK   28 We'll take a break.   28 Smort Break   29 Okay.   29 Okay.   20 Okay.   20 Okay.   20 Okay.   20 Okay.   20 Okay.   21 A. No, I don't remember.   22 Q. Okay.   23 ATTORNEY KRAKOFF:   24 We'll take a break.   25 SHORT BREAK   29 Okay.   27 Okay.   28 Okay.   29 Okay.                | 1           |   | - 1 |   |         |
| 11 officers and other personnel who were   12 named in this two-page attachment to   12 Q. Do you have any recollection of   13 receiving a copy of this report?   14 A. Yes.   14 A. No. Like I said, I didn't   15 investigate it so I really, you know  |             |   | J   | -   |         |
| 12 named in this two-page attachment to   13 Officer Metzger's report,   | 1           |   |     | •   |         |
| 13 Officer Metzger's report, 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. — that these concerned Eicher, 16 not only in connection with Lisa Lambert, 17 but other allegations that Eicher had 18 contact with other female prisoners in 19 1993 and 1994; isn't that? 20 A. That's what it says? 21 Q. Isn't that what it says? 22 A. Yes, that's what it says? 23 Q. And this document also reflects 24 that there were other officers other than 25 Eicher who allegedly had contact with 26 Limates of, you know, involving alleged 27 excual abuse or exploitation; isn't that 28 correct? 29 Q. Kay. 20 Q. Kay. 30 Q. Kay. 4 A. Well, it says contact. I'm not 5 sure what they meant by contact. 5 Q. Okay. 5 Q. Right. 6 Q. Okay. 7 A. Some of it says physical contact. 8 Some off it just says alleged contact. 9 Q. Right. 10 A. And that was all before my time. 11 Q. But in any event, this 12 information just never came to you 13 anyway? 14 A. It doesn't, no. 15 Q. It didn't? 16 A. It could have been placed no. 17 Q. What about Wolanin's report itself? 18 What about Wolanin's report itself? 19 Q. Well, would you have received in 10 G. Right. And I take it that you weren't with the contact of the contac             |             |   | 1   |   |         |
| 14 A. No. Like I said, I didn't  | 1           | - <del>-</del>                                      | Ĺ   | Q. Do you have any recollection of        |         |
| 15 Q. — that these concerned Eicher, 16 not only in connection with Lisa Lambert, 17 but other allegations that Eicher had 18 contact with other female prisoners in 19 1993 and 1994; isn't that —? 20 A. That's what it says. 21 Q. Isn't that what it says? 22 A. Yes, that's what it says? 22 A. Yes, that's what it says. 23 Q. And this document also reflects 24 that there were other officers other than 25 Eicher who allegedly had contact with 26 SHORT BREAK  Page 67  I inmates of, you know, involving alleged 27 SHORT BREAK  Page 69  I inmates of, you know, involving alleged 28 Sure what they meant by contact. 4 A. Well, it says contact. I'm not 4 Sure what they meant by contact. 5 Q. Okay. 6 Of an abusive nature toward Lisa Lambert? 7 A. Some of it says physical contact. 8 Some of it just says alleged contact. 8 Some of it just says alleged contact. 9 Q. Right. 10 A. And that was all before my time. 11 Q. But in any event, this 12 information just never came to you 13 anyway? 14 A. It doesn't, no. 15 Q. It didn't? 16 Q. What about the report itself? 18 What about Wolanin's report itself? 19 Metzger memo with Deputy Kormanic; is 20 that correct? 21 A. No, I don't remember. 22 Q. Okay. 23 ATTORNEY KRAKOFF: 24 Q. Did you at some point become 25 SHORT BREAK  Page 69 2 I BY ATTORNEY KRAKOFF: 2 Q. Did you at some point become 3 aware of the fact that an investigation 4 concluded that in 1995 that Eicher had 5 engaged in misconduct, sexual misconduct 6 of an abusive nature toward Lisa Lambert? 7 A. Was I made aware of it, yes. 8 Q. How did you become aware of that? 9 A. I saw — well, I watched them 10 excort Mr. Eicher off grounds and, you 11 know, I was probably told somewhere along 12 there. I mean it's common sense that 13 something's wrong. 14 A. It doesn't, no. 15 Q. It didn't? 16 A. It could have been placed — no. 17 Q. What about Wolanin's report itself, which 18 Q. — or the Deputy? 19 A. No, not that I remember, no. 19 Q. And I take it that neither the 22 Superintendent nor anybody else in 23 administrations asid  | 13 (        | Officer Metzger's report,                           | 1:  | 3 receiving a copy of this report?        |         |
| 16 not only in connection with Lisa Lambert, 17 but other allegations that Eicher had 18 contact with other female prisoners in 19 1993 and 1994; isn't that? 20 A. That's what it says. 21 Q. Isn't that what it says. 22 Q. And this document also reflects 23 ATTORNEY KRAKOFF: 24 that there were other officers other than 25 Eicher who allegedly had contact with 25 SHORT BREAK  Page 67 1 inmates of, you know, involving alleged 2 sexual abuse or exploitation; isn't that 3 correct? 4 A. Well, it says contact. I'm not 5 sure what they meant by contact. 6 Q. Okay. 7 A. Some of it says physical contact. 8 Some of it just says alleged contact. 9 Q. Right. 10 A. And that was all before my time. 11 Q. But in any event, this 12 information just never came to you 13 anyway? 14 A. It could have been placed — no. 16 A. It could have been placed — no. 17 Q. What about Wolanin's report itself, which 28 Idea (Contain that memo on September 11, 20 Did you have received in 29 Q. Well, would you have received a 20 Uself from Michael Wolanin? 20 Q. Well, would you have received in   | 14 A        | A. Yes.   | 14  | 4 A. No. Like I said, I didn't            |         |
| 17 but other allegations that Eicher had   17 have no recollection of ever discussing   18 contact with other female prisoners in   18 the incidents that were alleged in the   19 1993 and 1994; isn't that?   19 Metzger memo with Deputy Kormanic; is   20 A. That's what it says.   20 that correct?   21 A. No, I don't remember.   22 Q. Okay.   22 Q. Okay.   23 ATTORNEY KRAKOFF:   24 We'll take a break.   25 SHORT BREAK   25 SHORT BREAK   25 SHORT BREAK   26 Did you at some point become   3 aware of the fact that an investigation   4 concluded that in 1995 that Eicher had   5 sure what they meant by contact.   5 sure what they meant by contact.   5 capaged in misconduct, sexual misconduct   6 Q. Okay.   6 of an abusive nature toward Lisa Lambert?   7 A. Was I made aware of it, yes.   8 Q. How did you become aware of that?   9 A. I saw well, I watched them   10 escort Mr. Eicher off grounds and, you   12 there. I mean it's common sense that   13 something's wrong.   14 Q. I take it that you weren't   15 that the Superintendent didn't inform you   16 of that or   17 Q. What about Wolanin's report itself, which   9 did contain that memo on September 11,   20 1995, were you in the chain of   20 Metl, would you have received a   22 Superintendent nor anybody else in   23 administration and track that were alleged in the   19 Metzger memo with Deputy Kormanic; is   20 that correct?   20 Did you of the member.   22 A. No, 1 don't remember.   23 ATTORNEY KRAKOFF:   24 We'll take a break.   25 SHORT BREAK   26 Did you at some point become   20 Did you at some point become   3 aware of the fact that an investigation   4 concluded that in 1995 that Eicher had   5 engaged in misconduct, excual misconduct   5 engaged in misconduct, excual misconduct   5 engaged in misconduct, excual misconduct   6 of an abusive nature toward Lisa Lambert?   7 A. Was I made aware of it, yes.   8 Q. How did you become aware of that?   9 A. I saw well, I watche                     | 15 C        | 2 that these concerned Eicher,                      | 13  | 5 investigate it so I really, you know    |         |
| 18 contact with other female prisoners in 19 1993 and 1994; isn't that? 20 A. That's what it says. 21 Q. Isn't that what it says? 22 A. Yes, that's what it says? 23 Q. And this document also reflects 24 We'll take a break. 25 SHORT BREAK  Page 67  1 inmates of, you know, involving alleged 25 excual abuse or exploitation; isn't that 26 correct? 27 A. Well, it says contact. I'm not 28 sure what they meant by contact. 29 Q. Did you at some point become 29 aware of the fact that an investigation 20 concluded that in 1995 that Eicher had 21 concluded that in 1995 that Eicher had 22 ended that in 1995 that Eicher had 23 concluded that in 1995 that Eicher had 24 we'll take above or exploitation; isn't that 29 Q. Did you at some point become 30 correct? 4 A. Well, it says contact. I'm not 4 concluded that in 1995 that Eicher had 5 engaged in misconduct, sexual misconduct 6 Q. Okay. 6 of an abusive nature toward Lisa Lambert? 7 A. Was I made aware of it, yes. 8 Q. How did you become aware of that? 9 A. I saw well, I watched them 10 escort Mr. Eicher off grounds and, you 11 know, I was probably told somewhere along 12 information just never came to you 13 anyway? 14 A. It doesn't, no. 15 Q. It didn't? 16 A. It could have been placed no. 17 Q. What about Wolanin's report itself, which 9 did contain that memo on September 11, 10 distribution? 11 (a) It would have been placed woll and it inform you 12 distribution? 13 distribution? 14 Q. Well, would you have received a 15 etter from Michael Wolanin? 16 distribution? 17 A. No, not that I remember, no. 18 Q or the Deputy? 19 A. Not that they called me up and 20 tell me, no, not that I remember, no. 21 Q. And I take it that neither the 22 Superintendent and anybody else in 23 diministration said to you, why don't you 24 come and read the or suggested that   | 16 E        | not only in connection with Lisa Lambert,           | 10  | 5 Q. Right. And I take it that you        |         |
| 19 1993 and 1994; isn't that? 20 A. That's what it says. 21 Q. Isn't that what it says. 22 Q. Isn't that what it says. 23 Q. And this document also reflects 24 that there were other officers other than 25 Eicher who allegedly had contact with 26 Eicher who allegedly had contact with 27 Page 69 28 Exxual abuse or exploitation; isn't that 30 correct? 4 A. Well, it says contact. I'm not 5 sure what they meant by contact. 5 Q. Okay. 6 Q. And that was all before my time. 10 A. And that was all before my time. 11 Q. Right. 12 Q. Right. 13 Sure what they meant by contact. 14 Q. Right. 15 It doesn't, no. 16 Q. It didn't? 17 A. No, not that I remember, no. 18 Q. What about Wolanin's report itself, which did contain that memo on September 11, 20 1995, were you in the chain of 21 distribution? 22 A. Well, would you have received in 25 Superintendent noar naybody don't you at some point become 26 April 1 BY ATTORNEY KRAKOFF: 27 Q. Did you at some point become 28 aware of the fact that an investigation 29 Q. Did you at some point become 30 aware of the fact that an investigation 4 concluded that in 1995 that Eicher had 5 sure what they meant by contact. 5 engaged in misconduct, sexual misconduct 6 of an abusive nature toward Lisa Lambert? 7 A. Was I made aware of it, yes. 8 Q. How did you become aware of that? 9 A. I saw well, I watched them 10 escort Mr. Eicher off grounds and, you 11 know, I was probably told somewhere along 12 there. I mean it's common sense that 13 something's wrong. 14 A. It doesn't, no. 15 that the Superintendent didn't inform you 16 of that or 17 Q. What about Wolanin's report itself, which 19 did contain that memo on September 11, 20 1995, were you in the chain of 21 distribution? 22 A. Would I ever have received a 23 ditter from Michael Wolanin? 24 Q. Well, would you have received in  | 17 b        | out other allegations that Eicher had               | 17  | have no recollection of ever discussing   |         |
| 20 A. That's what it says. 21 Q. Isn't that what it says? 22 A. Yes, that's what it says. 23 Q. And this document also reflects 24 that there were other officers other than 25 Eicher who allegedly had contact with  26 Page 67  27 inmates of, you know, involving alleged 28 excual abuse or exploitation; isn't that 30 correct? 4 A. Well, it says contact. I'm not 4 concluded that in 1995 that Eicher had 5 sure what they meant by contact. 6 Q. Okay. 7 A. Some of it just says alleged contact. 8 Some of it just says alleged contact. 9 Q. Right. 10 A. And that was all before my time. 11 Q. But in any event, this 12 information just never came to you 13 anyway? 14 A. It doesn't, no. 15 Q. It didn't? 16 A. It could have been placed no. 17 Q. What about the report itself? 18 What about Wolanin's report itself, which 19 did contain that memo on September 11, 20 1995, were you in the chain of 21 distribution? 22 (D. Nay. 23 ATTORNEY KRAKOFF: 24 We'll take a break. 25 SHORT BREAK  Page 67  1 BY ATTORNEY KRAKOFF: 2 Q. Did you at some point become 3 aware of the fact that an investigation 4 concluded that in 1995 that Eicher had 5 engaged in misconduct, sexual misconduct 6 of an abusive nature toward Lisa Lambert? 7 A. Was I made aware of it, yes. 8 Q. How did you become aware of that? 9 Q. Right. 10 escort Mr. Eicher off grounds and, you 11 know, I was probably told somewhere along 12 there. I mean it's common sense that 13 something's wrong. 14 Q. I take it that you weren't 15 Q. It didn't? 15 that the Superintendent didn't inform you 16 of that or 17 Q. What about Wolanin's report itself, which 19 did contain that memo on September 11, 20 1995, were you in the chain of 21 Q. And I take it that neither the 22 Superintendent nor anybody else in 23 administration said to you, why don't you 24 Q. Well, would you have received in  | 18 c        | ontact with other female prisoners in               | 18  | the incidents that were alleged in the    |         |
| 21 Q. Isn't that what it says? 22 A. Yes, that's what it says. 23 Q. And this document also reflects 24 that there were other officers other than 25 Eicher who allegedly had contact with  Page 67  I inmates of, you know, involving alleged 2 sexual abuse or exploitation; isn't that 3 correct?  4 A. Well, it says contact. I'm not 5 sure what they meant by contact. 6 Q. Okay. 7 A. Some of it just says alleged contact. 8 Some of it just says alleged contact. 9 Q. Right. 10 A. And that was all before my time. 11 Q. But in any event, this 12 information just never came to you 13 anyway? 14 A. It doesn't, no. 15 Q. It didn't? 16 A. It could have been placed no. 17 Q. What about the report itself? 18 What about the report itself? 19 What about Wolanin's report itself, which 19 did contain that memo on September 11, 20 1995, were you in the chain of 21 distribution? 22 Q. Okay. 23 ATTORNEY KRAKOFF: 24 We'll take a break. 25 SHORT BREAK  Page 69  1 BY ATTORNEY KRAKOFF: 2 Q. Did you at some point become 3 aware of the fact that an investigation 4 concluded that in 1995 that Eicher had 5 engaged in misconduct, sexual misconduct 6 of an abusive nature toward Lisa Lambert? 7 A. Was I made aware of it, yes. 8 Q. How did you become aware of that? 9 A. I saw well, I watched them 10 escort Mr. Eicher off grounds and, you 11 know, I was probably told somewhere along 12 there. I mean it's common sense that 13 something's wrong. 14 Q. I take it that you weren't 15 that the Superintendent didn't inform you 16 of that or 17 A. No, not that I remember, no. 18 Q or the Deputy? 19 A. Not that they called me up and 20 tell me, no, not that I remember, no. 21 Q. And I take it that neither the 22 Superintendent nor anybody clse in 23 administration said to you, why don't you 24 Q. Well, would you have received in  | 19 1        | 993 and 1994; isn't that?                           | 19  | Metzger memo with Deputy Kormanic; is     |         |
| 22 A. Yes, that's what it says. 23 Q. And this document also reflects 24 that there were other officers other than 25 Eicher who allegedly had contact with  25 Page 67  1 immates of, you know, involving alleged 2 sexual abuse or exploitation; isn't that 3 correct? 4 A. Well, it says contact. I'm not 5 sure what they meant by contact. 6 Q. Okay. 7 A. Some of it says physical contact. 8 Some of it just says alleged contact. 9 Q. Right. 10 A. And that was all before my time. 11 Q. But in any event, this 12 information just never came to you 13 anyway? 14 A. It doesn't, no. 15 Q. It didn't? 16 A. It could have been placed no. 17 Q. What about the report itself? 18 What about the report itself? 18 What about Wolanin's report itself, which 19 did contain that memo on September 11, 20 1995, were you in the chain of 21 elter from Michael Wolanin? 22 Q. Okay. 23 ATTORNEY KRAKOFF: 24 We'll take a break. 25 SHORT BREAK  Page 67  Page 67  Page 68  1 BY ATTORNEY KRAKOFF: 2 Q. Did you at some point become 3 aware of the fact that an investigation 4 concluded that in 1995 that Eicher had 5 engaged in misconduct, sexual misconduct 6 of an abusive nature toward Lisa Lambert? 7 A. Was I made aware of it, yes. 8 Q. How did you become aware of that? 9 A. Isaw well, I watched them 10 escort Mr. Eicher off grounds and, you 11 know, I was probably told somewhere along 12 there. I mean it's common sense that 13 something's wrong. 14 A. It doesn't, no. 15 Q. It didn't? 16 A. It could have been placed no. 16 Q. What about the report itself, which 19 did contain that memo on September 11, 20 1995, were you in the chain of 21 Q. And I take it that neither the 22 A. Would I ever have received a 23 letter from Michael Wolanin? 24 Q. Well, would you have received in  | 20 A        | . That's what it says.                              | 20  | that correct?                             |         |
| 23 Q. And this document also reflects 24 that there were other officers other than 25 Eicher who allegedly had contact with  Page 67  I inmates of, you know, involving alleged 2 sexual abuse or exploitation; isn't that 3 correct? 4 A. Well, it says contact. I'm not 5 sure what they meant by contact. 6 Q. Okay. 7 A. Some of it says physical contact. 8 Some of it just says alleged contact. 8 Some of it just says alleged contact. 9 Q. Right. 10 A. And that was all before my time. 11 Q. But in any event, this 12 information just never came to you 13 anyway? 14 A. It doesn't, no. 15 Q. It didn't? 16 A. It could have been placed no. 17 Q. What about the report itself? 18 What about Wolanin's report itself? 19 Mat about the chain of 20 A. Would lever have received a 21 letter from Michael Wolanin? 22 A. Well, would you have received in 23 ATTORNEY KRAKOFF: 24 We'll take a break. 25 SHORT BREAK  Page 69  Page 69  Page 69  1 BY ATTORNEY KRAKOFF: 2 Q. Did you at some point become 3 aware of the fact that an investigation 4 concluded that in 1995 that Eicher had 5 correct? 6 Q. Okay. 6 of an abusive nature toward Lisa Lambert? 7 A. Was I made aware of it, yes. 8 Q. How did you become aware of that? 9 A. I saw well, I watched them 10 escort Mr. Eicher off grounds and, you 11 know, I was probably told somewhere along 12 there. I mean it's common sense that 13 something's wrong. 14 Q. I take it that you weren't 15 that the Superintendent didn't inform you 16 of that or 17 A. No, not that I remember, no. 18 Q or the Deputy? 19 A. Not that they called me up and 20 tell me, no, not that I remember, no. 21 Q. And I take it that neither the 22 Superintendent nor anybody else in 23 administration said to you, why don't you 24 Q. Well, would you have received in  | 21 Q        | ). Isn't that what it says?                         | 21  | A. No, I don't remember.                  |         |
| 24 We'll take a break. 25 Eicher who allegedly had contact with  26 Page 67  1 inmates of, you know, involving alleged 2 sexual abuse or exploitation; isn't that 3 correct? 4 A. Well, it says contact. I'm not 5 sure what they meant by contact. 6 Q. Okay. 7 A. Some of it says physical contact. 8 Some of it just says alleged contact. 9 Q. Right. 10 A. And that was all before my time. 11 Q. But in any event, this 12 information just never came to you 13 anyway? 14 A. It could have been placed no. 15 Q. It didn't? 16 A. It could have been placed no. 17 Q. What about the report itself? 18 What about the report itself? 19 Mat about the report itself? 10 A. No, not that I remember, no. 11 Q. Wall about the report itself? 12 Q. And I take it that no investigation 13 distribution? 14 Q. I take it that pour weren't 15 Q. And I take it that pour and 26 Letter from Michael Wolanin? 27 Q. Well, would you have received in 28 Well, would you have received in  | 22 A        | Yes, that's what it says.                           | 22  | Q. Okay.                                  | •       |
| Page 67  I immates of, you know, involving alleged sexual abuse or exploitation; isn't that 3 correct?  4 A. Well, it says contact. I'm not 5 sure what they meant by contact. 6 Q. Okay. 7 A. Some of it says physical contact. 8 Some of it just says alleged contact. 9 Q. Right. 10 A. And that was all before my time. 11 Q. But in any event, this 12 information just never came to you 13 information just never came to you 14 A. It doesn't, no. 15 Q. It didn't? 16 A. It could have been placed no. 17 Q. What about Wolanin's report itself, which 19 did contain that memo on September 11, 20 1995, were you in the chain of 21 information said to you, why don't you 22 A. Would I ever have received a 23 letter from Michael Wolanin? 24 Q. Well, would you have received in  | 23 Q        | <ol> <li>And this document also reflects</li> </ol> | 23  | ATTORNEY KRAKOFF:                         |         |
| Page 67  I inmates of, you know, involving alleged 2 sexual abuse or exploitation; isn't that 3 correct? 4 A. Well, it says contact. I'm not 5 sure what they meant by contact. 5 sure what they meant by contact. 6 Q. Okay. 7 A. Some of it says physical contact. 8 Some of it just says alleged contact. 9 Q. Right. 10 A. And that was all before my time. 11 Q. But in any event, this 12 information just never came to you 13 something's wrong. 14 A. It doesn't, no. 15 Q. It didn't? 16 A. It could have been placed no. 17 Q. What about Wolanin's report itself, which 19 did contain that memo on September 11, 20 1995, were you in the chain of 21 distribution? 22 A. Would I ever have received a 23 letter from Michael Wolanin? 24 Q. Well, would you have received in 25 may arrow of the fact that an investigation 4 concluded that in 1995 that Eicher had 5 engaged in misconduct, sexual misconduct 6 of an abusive nature toward Lisa Lambert? 7 A. Was I made aware of it, yes. 8 Q. How did you become aware of that? 9 A. I saw well, I watched them 10 escort Mr. Eicher off grounds and, you 11 know, I was probably told somewhere along 12 there. I mean it's common sense that 13 something's wrong. 14 Q. I take it that you weren't 15 that the Superintendent didn't inform you 16 of that or 17 A. No, not that I remember, no. 18 Q or the Deputy? 19 A. Not that they called me up and 20 tell me, no, not that I remember, no. 21 Q. And I take it that neither the 22 Superintendent on anybody else in 23 administration said to you, why don't you 24 come and read the or suggested that   | 24 tl       | hat there were other officers other than            | 24  | We'll take a break.                       |         |
| 1 inmates of, you know, involving alleged 2 sexual abuse or exploitation; isn't that 3 correct? 3 aware of the fact that an investigation 4 A. Well, it says contact. I'm not 5 sure what they meant by contact. 5 congaged in misconduct, sexual misconduct 6 Q. Okay. 7 A. Some of it says physical contact. 8 Q. How did you become aware of that? 9 Q. Right. 9 A. I saw well, I watched them 10 A. And that was all before my time. 11 Q. But in any event, this 12 information just never came to you 13 anyway? 14 A. It doesn't, no. 15 Q. It didn't? 16 A. It could have been placed no. 17 Q. What about the report itself? 18 What about Wolanin's report itself, which 19 did contain that memo on September 11, 20 Q. And I take it that remember, no. 21 all fire in the chain of 22 A. Would I ever have received in 24 Q. Well, would you have received in 25 correct? 2 Q. Did you at some point become 2 Q. Did you at some point become 2 Q. Did you at some point become 3 aware of the fact that an investigation 4 concluded that in 1995 that Eicher had 5 engaged in misconduct, sexual misconduct 6 concluded that in 1995 that Eicher had 6 engaged in misconduct, sexual misconduct 6 concluded that in 1995 that Eicher had 6 engaged in misconduct, sexual misconduct 6 concluded that in 1995 that Eicher had 6 engaged in misconduct, sexual misconduct 6 concluded that in 1995 that Eicher had 6 engaged in misconduct, sexual misconduct 6 concluded that in 1995 that Eicher had 6 engaged in misconduct, sexual misconduct 6 concluded that in 1995 that Eicher had 6 engaged in misconduct, sexual misconduct 6 concluded that in 1995 that Eicher had 6 engaged in misconduct, sexual misconduct sexual misconduct sexual misconduct sexual misconduct sexual misconduct sexual misconduct sexual misc         | 25 E        | licher who allegedly had contact with               | 25  | SHORT BREAK                               |         |
| 2 sexual abuse or exploitation; isn't that 3 correct? 4 A. Well, it says contact. I'm not 5 sure what they meant by contact. 6 Q. Okay. 7 A. Some of it says physical contact. 8 Some of it just says alleged contact. 8 Some of it just says alleged contact. 9 Q. Right. 10 A. And that was all before my time. 11 Q. But in any event, this 12 information just never came to you 13 anyway? 14 A. It doesn't, no. 15 Q. It didn't? 16 A. It could have been placed no. 17 Q. What about the report itself? 18 What about Wolanin's report itself, which 19 did contain that memo on September 11, 20 1995, were you in the chain of 21 could have received in 22 Superintendent of the fact that an investigation 24 Q. Well, would you have received in 25 concluded that in 1995 that Eicher had 26 concluded that in 1995 that Eicher had 27 concluded that in 1995 that Eicher had 28 concluded that in 1995 that Eicher had 3 aware of the fact that an investigation 4 concluded that in 1995 that Eicher had 5 concluded that in 1995 that Eicher had 6 of an abusive nature toward Lis     |             | Page 67   |     |   | Page 69 |
| 3 correct? 4 A. Well, it says contact. I'm not 5 sure what they meant by contact. 6 Q. Okay. 7 A. Some of it says physical contact. 8 Some of it just says alleged contact. 8 Some of it just says alleged contact. 9 Q. Right. 10 A. And that was all before my time. 11 Q. But in any event, this 12 information just never came to you 13 anyway? 14 A. It doesn't, no. 15 Q. It didn't? 16 A. It could have been placed no. 17 Q. What about Welanin's report itself? 18 What about Wolanin's report itself? 19 What about Wolanin's report itself, which 10 its in the superintendent of the transmost of the superintendent nor anybody else in 20 A Well, would you have received in 21 administration said to you, why don't you 22 administration said to you, why don't you 23 administration said to you, why don't you 24 Q. Well, would you have received in  | l iı        | nmates of, you know, involving alleged              | 1   | BY ATTORNEY KRAKOFF:                      |         |
| 4 A. Well, it says contact. I'm not 5 sure what they meant by contact. 6 Q. Okay. 6 Q. Okay. 7 A. Some of it says physical contact. 8 Some of it just says alleged contact. 8 Some of it just says alleged contact. 9 Q. Right. 10 A. And that was all before my time. 11 Q. But in any event, this 12 information just never came to you 13 anyway? 14 A. It doesn't, no. 15 Q. It didn't? 16 A. It could have been placed no. 17 Q. What about the report itself? 18 What about Wolanin's report itself, which 19 did contain that memo on September 11, 20 I 1995, were you in the chain of 21 determined that in 1995 that Eicher had 22 determined in misconduct, sexual misconduct 25 engaged in misconduct, sexual misconduct 26 of an abusive nature toward Lisa Lambert? 27 A. Was I made aware of it, yes. 28 Q. How did you become aware of that? 29 A. I saw well, I watched them 20 escort Mr. Eicher off grounds and, you 21 there. I mean it's common sense that 22 something's wrong. 23 letter from Michael Wolanin's report itself, which 24 Q. Well, would you have received in 25 come and read the or suggested that 26 of an abusive nature toward Lisa Lambert? 27 A. Was I made aware of it, yes. 28 of an abusive nature toward Lisa Lambert? 28 A. Would I ever have received in   | 2 s         | exual abuse or exploitation; isn't that             | 2   | Q. Did you at some point become           |         |
| 5 sure what they meant by contact. 6 Q. Okay. 6 Q. Okay. 7 A. Some of it says physical contact. 8 Some of it just says alleged contact. 8 Some of it just says alleged contact. 9 Q. Right. 10 A. And that was all before my time. 11 Q. But in any event, this 12 information just never came to you 13 anyway? 14 A. It doesn't, no. 15 Q. It didn't? 16 A. It doesn't, no. 17 Q. What about the report itself? 18 What about the report itself? 19 What about Wolanin's report itself, which 10 did contain that memo on September 11, 10 distribution? 11 doesn't would lever have received a 12 letter from Michael Wolanin? 14 Q. Well, would you have received in 15 cengaged in misconduct, sexual misconduct 6 of an abusive nature toward Lisa Lambert? 7 A. Was I made aware of it, yes. 8 Q. How did you become aware of that? 9 A. I saw well, I watched them 10 escort Mr. Eicher off grounds and, you 11 know, I was probably told somewhere along 12 there. I mean it's common sense that 13 anyway? 14 A. It doesn't, no. 15 Q. It didn't? 15 that the Superintendent didn't inform you 16 of that or 17 Q. What about the report itself? 18 Q or the Deputy? 19 A. Not that I remember, no. 19 A. Not that they called me up and 20 tell me, no, not that I remember, no. 21 Q. And I take it that neither the 22 Superintendent nor anybody else in 23 administration said to you, why don't you 24 Q. Well, would you have received in   | 3 c         | orrect?   | 3   | aware of the fact that an investigation   |         |
| 6 Q. Okay. 7 A. Some of it says physical contact. 8 Some of it just says alleged contact. 9 Q. Right. 10 A. And that was all before my time. 11 Q. But in any event, this 12 information just never came to you 13 anyway? 14 A. It doesn't, no. 15 Q. It didn't? 16 A. It could have been placed no. 17 Q. What about the report itself? 18 What about Wolanin's report itself? 19 What about Wolanin's report itself, which 10 did contain that memo on September 11, 11 didsribution? 12 doesn't mean it's common sense that 13 anyway? 14 A. It could have been placed no. 15 Q. What about the report itself? 16 A. It could have been placed no. 17 Q. What about the report itself? 18 What about Wolanin's report itself, which 19 did contain that memo on September 11, 20 It gill me, no, not that I remember, no. 21 Q. And I take it that neither the 22 A. Would I ever have received a 23 letter from Michael Wolanin? 24 Q. Well, would you have received in 25 dome and read the or suggested that  | 4 A         | . Well, it says contact. I'm not                    | 4   | concluded that in 1995 that Eicher had    |         |
| 7 A. Some of it says physical contact.  8 Some of it just says alleged contact.  9 Q. Right.  10 A. And that was all before my time.  11 Q. But in any event, this  12 information just never came to you  13 something's wrong.  14 A. It doesn't, no.  15 Q. It didn't?  16 A. It could have been placed no.  17 Q. What about the report itself?  18 What about Wolanin's report itself, which  19 did contain that memo on September 11,  20 It distribution?  21 Q. And I take it that neither the  22 A. Would I ever have received a  23 letter from Michael Wolanin?  24 Q. Well, would you have received in   | 5 st        | ure what they meant by contact.                     | 5   | engaged in misconduct, sexual misconduct  |         |
| 8 Some of it just says alleged contact.  9 Q. Right.  10 A. And that was all before my time.  11 Q. But in any event, this  12 information just never came to you  13 anyway?  14 A. It doesn't, no.  15 Q. It didn't?  16 A. It could have been placed no.  17 Q. What about the report itself?  18 What about Wolanin's report itself, which  19 did contain that memo on September 11,  20 1995, were you in the chain of  21 doesn't me.  22 A. Would I ever have received a  23 letter from Michael Wolanin?  24 Q. Well, would you have received in  25 Q. Well, would you have received in  | 6 Q         | . Okay.   | 6   | of an abusive nature toward Lisa Lambert? |         |
| 9 Q. Right. 9 A. I saw well, I watched them 10 A. And that was all before my time. 11 Q. But in any event, this 12 information just never came to you 13 anyway? 14 A. It doesn't, no. 15 Q. It didn't? 16 A. It could have been placed no. 17 Q. What about the report itself? 18 What about Wolanin's report itself, which 19 did contain that memo on September 11, 20 1995, were you in the chain of 21 distribution? 22 A. Would I ever have received a 23 letter from Michael Wolanin? 24 Q. Well, would you have received in 25 Q. Well, would you have received in 26 A. I saw well, I watched them 10 escort Mr. Eicher off grounds and, you 11 know, I was probably told somewhere along 12 there. I mean it's common sense that 13 something's wrong. 14 A. It doesn't, no. 15 Q. I take it that you weren't 15 that the Superintendent didn't inform you 16 of that or 17 Q. What about the report itself? 17 A. No, not that I remember, no. 18 Q or the Deputy? 19 A. Not that they called me up and 20 tell me, no, not that I remember, no. 21 Q. And I take it that neither the 22 Superintendent nor anybody else in 23 administration said to you, why don't you 24 Q. Well, would you have received in  | 7 A         | . Some of it says physical contact.                 | 7   | A. Was I made aware of it, yes.           |         |
| 9 Q. Right. 10 A. And that was all before my time. 11 Q. But in any event, this 12 information just never came to you 13 anyway? 14 A. It doesn't, no. 15 Q. It didn't? 16 A. It could have been placed no. 17 Q. What about the report itself? 18 What about Wolanin's report itself, which 19 did contain that memo on September 11, 20 1995, were you in the chain of 21 distribution? 22 A. Would I ever have received a 23 letter from Michael Wolanin? 24 Q. Well, would you have received in 26 And that was all before my time. 26 It saw well, I watched them 10 escort Mr. Eicher off grounds and, you 11 know, I was probably told somewhere along 12 there. I mean it's common sense that 13 something's wrong. 14 Q. I take it that you weren't 15 that the Superintendent didn't inform you 16 of that or 17 A. No, not that I remember, no. 18 Q or the Deputy? 19 A. Not that they called me up and 20 tell me, no, not that I remember, no. 21 Q. And I take it that neither the 22 Superintendent nor anybody else in 23 administration said to you, why don't you 24 Q. Well, would you have received in   | 8 S         | ome of it just says alleged contact.                | 8   | Q. How did you become aware of that?      |         |
| 11 Q. But in any event, this 12 information just never came to you 13 anyway? 14 A. It doesn't, no. 15 Q. It didn't? 16 A. It could have been placed no. 17 Q. What about the report itself? 18 What about Wolanin's report itself, which 19 did contain that memo on September 11, 19 A. Not that I remember, no. 19 Q. It did not not that I remember, no. 19 A. Not that they called me up and 20 1995, were you in the chain of 21 distribution? 22 A. Would I ever have received a 23 letter from Michael Wolanin? 24 Q. Well, would you have received in 25 letter from Michael Wolanin? 26 come and read the or suggested that  |             |   | 9   | A. I saw well, I watched them             |         |
| 11 Q. But in any event, this 12 information just never came to you 13 anyway? 14 A. It doesn't, no. 15 Q. It didn't? 16 A. It could have been placed no. 17 Q. What about the report itself? 18 What about Wolanin's report itself, which 19 did contain that memo on September 11, 19 A. Not that I remember, no. 19 Q. Would I ever have received a 10 Little from Michael Wolanin? 11 know, I was probably told somewhere along 12 there. I mean it's common sense that 13 something's wrong. 14 Q. I take it that you weren't 15 that the Superintendent didn't inform you 16 of that or 17 A. No, not that I remember, no. 18 Q or the Deputy? 19 A. Not that they called me up and 20 tell me, no, not that I remember, no. 21 Q. And I take it that neither the 22 Superintendent nor anybody else in 23 letter from Michael Wolanin? 24 Q. Well, would you have received in 25 distribution said to you, why don't you 26 come and read the or suggested that  | 10 A        | . And that was all before my time.                  | 10  | escort Mr. Eicher off grounds and, you    |         |
| 12 there. I mean it's common sense that 13 anyway? 14 A. It doesn't, no. 15 Q. It didn't? 16 A. It could have been placed no. 17 Q. What about the report itself? 18 What about Wolanin's report itself, which 19 did contain that memo on September 11, 19 distribution? 10 A. Would I ever have received a 11 there. I mean it's common sense that 12 there. I mean it's common sense that 13 something's wrong. 14 Q. I take it that you weren't 15 that the Superintendent didn't inform you 16 of that or 17 A. No, not that I remember, no. 18 Q or the Deputy? 19 A. Not that they called me up and 20 tell me, no, not that I remember, no. 21 Q. And I take it that neither the 22 A. Would I ever have received a 23 letter from Michael Wolanin? 24 Q. Well, would you have received in 25 come and read the or suggested that  | 11 <b>Q</b> |   | 11  | know, I was probably told somewhere along |         |
| 13 something's wrong.  14 A. It doesn't, no.  15 Q. It didn't?  16 A. It could have been placed no.  17 Q. What about the report itself?  18 What about Wolanin's report itself, which  19 did contain that memo on September 11,  20 1995, were you in the chain of  21 distribution?  22 A. Would I ever have received a  23 letter from Michael Wolanin?  24 Q. Well, would you have received in  |             |   | 12  | there. I mean it's common sense that      |         |
| 14 A. It doesn't, no.  15 Q. It didn't?  16 A. It could have been placed no.  17 Q. What about the report itself?  18 What about Wolanin's report itself, which  19 did contain that memo on September 11,  20 1995, were you in the chain of  21 distribution?  22 A. Would I ever have received a  23 letter from Michael Wolanin?  24 Q. Well, would you have received in   |             | <del>-</del>  | 13  | something's wrong.                        |         |
| 15 Q. It didn't?  16 A. It could have been placed no.  17 Q. What about the report itself?  18 What about Wolanin's report itself, which  19 did contain that memo on September 11,  10 1995, were you in the chain of  20 distribution?  21 Q. And I take it that neither the  22 A. Would I ever have received a  23 letter from Michael Wolanin?  24 Q. Well, would you have received in  25 that the Superintendent didn't inform you  16 of that or  17 A. No, not that I remember, no.  18 Q or the Deputy?  19 A. Not that they called me up and  20 tell me, no, not that I remember, no.  A 199  21 Q. And I take it that neither the  22 Superintendent nor anybody else in  23 administration said to you, why don't you  24 Q. Well, would you have received in  |             |   | 14  | Q. I take it that you weren't             |         |
| 16 A. It could have been placed no. 17 Q. What about the report itself? 18 What about Wolanin's report itself, which 19 did contain that memo on September 11, 19 A. Not that I remember, no. 19 A. Not that they called me up and 20 1995, were you in the chain of 21 distribution? 22 A. Would I ever have received a 23 letter from Michael Wolanin? 24 Q. Well, would you have received in 25 What about the report itself? 26 A. No, not that I remember, no. 27 A. Not that they called me up and 28 tell me, no, not that I remember, no. 29 And I take it that neither the 20 Superintendent nor anybody else in 20 administration said to you, why don't you 21 Q. Well, would you have received in 22 come and read the or suggested that   |             |   |     |   |         |
| 17 Q. What about the report itself? 18 What about Wolanin's report itself, which 19 did contain that memo on September 11, 19 A. Not that I remember, no. 18 Q or the Deputy? 19 A. Not that they called me up and 20 1995, were you in the chain of 21 distribution? 22 A. Would I ever have received a 23 letter from Michael Wolanin? 24 Q. Well, would you have received in 25 What about the report itself? 26 A. No, not that I remember, no. 27 A. No, not that I remember, no. 28 A. No No, not that I remember, no. 29 A. No No that I remember, no. 20 Letter from that I remember, no. 20 Letter from that I remember, no. 21 Q. And I take it that neither the 22 Superintendent nor anybody else in 23 letter from Michael Wolanin? 24 Q. Well, would you have received in 25 Come and read the or suggested that   | _           |   |     | -   |         |
| 18 What about Wolanin's report itself, which 19 did contain that memo on September 11, 20 1995, were you in the chain of 21 distribution? 22 A. Would I ever have received a 23 letter from Michael Wolanin? 24 Q. Well, would you have received in 25 What about Wolanin's report itself, which 26 Q or the Deputy? 27 A. Not that they called me up and 28 tell me, no, not that I remember, no. 29 And I take it that neither the 20 Superintendent nor anybody else in 21 administration said to you, why don't you 22 come and read the or suggested that   |             | •   |     |   |         |
| 19 did contain that memo on September 11, 20 1995, were you in the chain of 21 distribution? 22 A. Would I ever have received a 23 letter from Michael Wolanin? 24 Q. Well, would you have received in 25 did contain that memo on September 11, 26 tell me, no, not that I remember, no. 27 And I take it that neither the 28 Superintendent nor anybody else in 29 administration said to you, why don't you 20 come and read the or suggested that  |             | -   |     |   |         |
| 20 1995, were you in the chain of 21 distribution? 22 A. Would I ever have received a 23 letter from Michael Wolanin? 24 Q. Well, would you have received in 25 tell me, no, not that I remember, no. 26 A 199 27 And I take it that neither the 28 Superintendent nor anybody else in 29 administration said to you, why don't you 20 tell me, no, not that I remember, no. 21 Q. And I take it that neither the 22 Superintendent nor anybody else in 23 administration said to you, why don't you 24 come and read the or suggested that  |             | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·               |     |   |         |
| 21 distribution? 22 A. Would I ever have received a 23 letter from Michael Wolanin? 24 Q. Well, would you have received in 25 Q. And I take it that neither the 26 Superintendent nor anybody else in 27 administration said to you, why don't you 28 come and read the or suggested that  |             | <del>-</del>  |     |   |         |
| 22 A. Would I ever have received a 23 letter from Michael Wolanin? 24 Q. Well, would you have received in 25 Superintendent nor anybody else in 26 administration said to you, why don't you 27 come and read the or suggested that  |             |   |     |   |         |
| 23 letter from Michael Wolanin? 24 Q. Well, would you have received in 25 administration said to you, why don't you 26 come and read the or suggested that   |             |   |     |   |         |
| Q. Well, would you have received in 24 come and read the or suggested that   |             |   |     | •   |         |
|  |             |   |     |   |         |
|  |             |   |     |   |         |

|    |  | Multi    | -Pa | age <sup>™</sup>                                    | *************************************** |
|----|--|----------|-----|---|---|
|    |  | Page 70  |     |   | Page 72                                 |
| ī  | of Special Investigation in connection                                     |          |     | at it and said, make sure that somebody             |   |
| 2  | with Eicher, is that so?   |          | 2   | followed it up, you know. Because it was            |   |
| 3  | A. No, that's true.  |          | 3   | before my time I'd make sure that                   |   |
| 4  | Q. Do you believe that having that   |          | 4   | what happened, what happened to the case,           |   |
| 5  | information would have been worthwhile in                                  |          | 5   | did anybody look into it.                           |   |
| 6  | any way in connection with any efforts to                                  |          | 6   | Q. With the other inmates?                          |   |
| 7  | either to fair it out or to preclude                                       |          | 7   | A. Yes.   |   |
| 8  | sexual misconduct against inmates?   |          | 8   | Q. And with Eicher's other?                         |   |
| 9  | ATTORNEY HALLORAN:   |          | 9   | A. This was done so I don't know how                |   |
| 10 | Objection. He testified  |          | 10  | much more would help you. It's already              |   |
| 11 | that he never saw the report.  |          | 11  | gone and prosecuted. I don't know if                |   |
| 12 | ·  |          | 12  | that would help you follow through.                 |   |
| 13 | I understand that but I'm  |          | 13  | Books were closed.                                  |   |
| 14 | asking since he was the  |          | 14  | Q. Now, I'm going to turn now to                    |   |
|    | intelligence captain whether   |          | 15  | Martin Miller. At what point did you                |   |
|    | having such a report would have  |          | Į.  | become involved with the investigation of           |   |
|    | been in your view, of any value  | •        | ŧ   | Martin Miller? And let me ask that                  |   |
|    | to you either in connection with   |          | 18  | first.  |   |
|    | your efforts to investigate  |          | 19  | A. With who?  |   |
|    | sexual abuse at the prison.  |          | 20  | Q. The investigation with Miller                    |   |
|    | A. Well, the case was over so it   |          | 21  | concerning allegations of misconduct                |   |
|    | wouldn't not unless there was  |          |     | against any Cambridge Spring inmate?                |   |
|    | something in the report that reflected on                                  |          | 23  |   |   |
|    | some other that we should investigate,                                     |          | 24  | they went nowhere, until this last time             |   |
|    | no. It wouldn't have been any help to                                      |          | 1   | in, what was it, March of '96. I think              |   |
|    |  | Page 71  |     |   | Page 73                                 |
|    | ma   | lage / I | ١,  | it was March of '96. And that happened              | 1450,0                                  |
|    | me. BY ATTORNEY KRAKOFF:   |          | E   | when I was out of the institution, I                |   |
|    | Q. But in fact, you've learned today                                       |          | l   | think I was training or something, when I           |   |
|    | that this report did have something?                                       |          | l   | was made aware of it. And when I                    |   |
|    | A. Yes.  |          | l   | returned I think they already Marty                 |   |
| _  |  |          | l   | Miller had already been suspended and OPR           |   |
|    | Q. It had attachment one which had been attributed to you but in any event |          | l   | or Michael Wolanin came in and I helped             |   |
|    | did make reference to other alleged acts                                   |          |     | him work on it, assisted him I guess is a           |   |
|    |  |          |     | better word.  |   |
|    | of abuse against other inmates by Eicher;                                  |          | l   | Q. All right. Was the Miller case                   |   |
|    | correct?   |          |     | open when you became the intelligence               |   |
|    | A. That's true.  |          | l   | captain?  |   |
|    | Q. And also alleged acts of abuse by                                       |          | l   | <del>-</del>  |   |
|    | other personnel?   |          | 13  | A. No, not that I can remember, no.  It was closed. |   |
|    | A. That's true.  |          | l   |   |   |
|    | Q. So that knowing this now, in your                                       |          | 15  |   |   |
|    | view, would knowing that two years ago or                                  |          | 16  |   |   |
|    | three years ago have been any value to                                     |          | l   | never throw the stuff away so it was in a           |   |
|    | you?   |          | ł   | file.   |   |
|    | A. It could have.  |          | 19  | -   |   |
|    | Q. In what way?  |          | 20  | , ,   |   |
|    | A. Just to make sure that it was   |          | i   | kept in my office, locked up in my                  | A 200                                   |
|    | followed up on.  |          | 1   | office.   |   |
|    | Q. Followed up in what respect?  |          | 1   | Q. Was there any sort of list                       |   |
|    | A. If there was an investigation   |          |     | maintained either on a computer or                  | ·                                       |
| 25 | completed on it, I'd have probably looked                                  |          | 123 | otherwise that reflected each of the                |   |

|      |   | Multi    | -P | age   |          |
|------|---|----------|----|---|----------|
|      |   | Page 134 |    |   | Page 136 |
| 1    | Q. Now, on page 25 you will see that      |          | 1  | went after people. It's never been                          |          |
| 2    | your name is crossed out and Roger Beck,  |          | 2  | condoned. And I believe the cameras and                     |          |
| 3    | Sr.'s name appears on the first two       |          | 3  | stuff, you know they purchased more                         |          |
| 4    | page 25 and 26 to Miranda. These are      |          | 4  | cameras or they purchased cameras and                       |          |
| 5    | I don't think these at least one of       |          | 5  | installed them in the maintenance area                      |          |
| 6    | these doesn't fit here. It's the wrong    |          | 6  | during that time.   |          |
| 7    | date, 12/30/94. Okay.                     |          | 7  | Q. The maintenance area was in what                         |          |
| 8    | While I have this, why don't I            |          | 8  | building?   |          |
| 9    | ask you. There's an article that          |          | 9  | A. It's in Curry, first and second                          |          |
| 10   | appeared in the local newspaper. What is  |          | 10 | floor. All three floors, I believe, they                    |          |
|      | that number?                              |          | 11 | installed cameras.  |          |
| 12   | A. Exhibit 122,                           |          | 12 | Q. And the cameras had not existed                          |          |
| 13   | Q. Okay, 122. Were you here when I        |          | 1  | before that?  |          |
|      | was questioning Mr. Bartlett about this   |          | ł  | A. No.  |          |
| 1    | article?                                  |          | 1  | Q. And what do the cameras what                             |          |
| 1    | A. No.                                    |          | •  | are they able to have you ever seen                         |          |
|      | Q. The long and short of it is, the       |          |    | the cameras?  |          |
| 1    | article and I'm not testifying to         |          |    | A. Basically, because I left shortly                        |          |
|      | this, I'm just trying to summarize this   |          |    | thereafter. Basically, they showed the                      |          |
|      | for you, two inmates, one by the name of  |          |    | hallways. You could see people moving in                    |          |
|      | Boyd and the other by the name of Ronka   |          | i  | I believe they're in the stairwells.                        | •        |
|      | Wright (phonetic)                         |          |    | Q. But you would be able to see                             |          |
| 23   | DEPUTY KORMANIC:                          |          |    | whether a person or persons left the                        |          |
| 24   | Boyd.                                     |          |    | hallways to go into a stairway?                             |          |
| 1    | BY ATTORNEY KRAKOFF:                      | :        |    | A. Yeah.  |          |
| -    | 2. III ORIGITATIONI.                      | D 125    |    | ,1. 1011.   | D 127    |
| ١,   | Q Ronka Boyd and another inmate           | Page 135 | 1  | Q. Is there a basement?                                     | Page 137 |
|      | by the name of Wright do we have her      |          |    | A. Yeah, there's a basement. The                            |          |
|      | name?                                     |          |    | cameras are on all three floors.                            |          |
| 1    | DEPUTY KORMANIC:                          |          |    |   |          |
| 4 5  |   |          |    | Q. And the cameras would also                               |          |
| 5    | Yvonne Wright.                            |          |    | reflect are there lavatories,<br>bathrooms on those floors? |          |
|      | BY ATTORNEY KRAKOFF:                      |          |    |   |          |
| 7    |   |          |    | A. Yeah, yes, there are.                                    |          |
| Ī    | says that Boyd and Wright said that they  |          |    | Q. And I take it the cameras would                          |          |
|      | witnessed no change in policy and         |          |    | be able to show who enters and exits the                    |          |
|      | procedures after charges were brought     |          |    | bathrooms? I don't mean inside the                          |          |
|      | against employees. However, Sergeant      |          |    | bathrooms.  |          |
|      | Terry Pelitere (phonetic), president of   |          |    | A. Yes, I understand that. I                                |          |
|      | the local union for officers at Cambridge |          |    | believe they show the hallway. I think                      |          |
|      | Springs, said changes were made.          |          |    | they point in both directions in the                        |          |
|      | Surveillance cameras were installed and a |          |    | hallway.  |          |
|      | policy adopted that prohibits male        |          |    | Q. Were you involved in the decision                        |          |
|      | employees from being with only one inmate |          |    | to purchase and install those cameras?                      |          |
| 18   | at a time.                                |          | 18 | A. I was probably asked about it.                           |          |
| 19   | Now, are you aware of either of           |          | 19 | Q. Who asked you?   |          |
|      | those two things taking place after any   |          |    | A. It was probably the                                      |          |
| 21   | of the criminal prosecutions that we've   |          | 21 | Superintendent at a meeting or something.                   |          |
|      | discussed today?                          |          | 22 | I don't think, you know, it was not my                      |          |
| 23 . | A. Like how? This institution has         |          | 23 | idea. It was ongoing.                                       | A 201    |
| 24 8 | always actively I don't know if           |          | 24 | Q. What did you tell them?                                  |          |
| 25 1 | that's the word I want to actively        |          | 25 | A. Yes, I was in favor of cameras.                          |          |

|       | Case 1.90-cv-002+7-00ivi Document qui     | 7 - | Page 10 01 20                             |          |
|-------|---|-----|---|----------|
|       | Page 13                                   | 3   |   | Page 140 |
|       | Q. And why was that?                      |     | 1 Q. Right. But if you're the same        |          |
| - 1   | 2 A. You could see more. You don't        |     | 2 gender                                  |          |
| - 1   | 3 have a staff cannot be on all floors    |     | 3 A. Yeah.                                |          |
| - 1   | 4 and see everything all at once. It's    |     | 4 Q it could be one on one?               |          |
| - 1   | 5 just impossible. Camera helps the job.  |     | 5 A. Yes, yes.                            |          |
| 1     | 5 Q. Right. And do you remember when      | 1   | 6 Q. And do you know how far back that    |          |
| - 1   | 7 it was, what year it was that you       |     | 7 policy goes back?                       |          |
|       | said it was shortly before you left?      | - 1 | 8 A. No, I don't. It goes back a          |          |
| 9     | A. I believe it was well, it had          | '   | 9 ways. I couldn't tell you.              |          |
| 10    | to be in the summer of '96, fall,         | ì   | Q. Is that something that existed in      |          |
| - [1] | somewhere around there. They were         | 1   | other institutions                        |          |
| 12    | installed before I left.                  | 1:  | 2 A. Yes, yes.                            |          |
| 13    | Q. Was it your view that this             | 1:  | 3 Q before you came here?                 |          |
| 14    | installing cameras might be able to       | 14  | A. Yes.                                   |          |
| 15    | prevent acts of sexual misconduct by      | 13  | 5 Q. A little while back I reviewed       |          |
| 16    | staff members against inmates?            | 10  | with you a report from Ms. Wolfgang       |          |
| 117   | A. I don't know about preventing it.      | 17  | A. Uh-huh (yes).                          |          |
| 18    | Yeah, it probably would. It would help    | 18  | Q concerning Inmate Pelman.               |          |
| 19    | it's easier to see that way. You're       | 15  | Did Ms. Wolfgang ever bring to your       |          |
| 20    | being watched. At least there's cameras   | 20  | attention or discuss in your presence any |          |
| 21    | and nobody knows if somebody is watching  | 21  | allegations of sexual exploitation or     |          |
| 22    | the cameras. So you're going to be a      | 22  | abuse she had received from Cambridge     |          |
| 23    | little bit leery around a camera.         | 23  | Springs inmates?                          |          |
| 24    | Q. Right. Okay. And I did ask you         | 24  | A. No.                                    |          |
| 25    | about the one on one or maybe I didn't.   | 25  | Q. What about Mr. Barr, the               |          |
|       | Page 139                                  |     |   | Page 141 |
| 1     | I'm getting confused as to who I asked    | 1   | assistant to the Superintendent? I        | -        |
| 2     | what of. But has there ever been if       | 2   | understand that he received grievance     |          |
| 3     | I asked you this, I apologize, but has    | 3   | complaints from inmates. Did he ever      |          |
|       | there ever been a policy at this          | 4   | bring to your attention the grievances he |          |
| i     | institution which prohibited male         | 5   | had received?                             |          |
| ŀ     | employees from being with only one        | 6   | A. On what?                               |          |
| 1     | inmate?                                   | 7   | Q. In connection with allegations?        |          |
| 8     | A. That's a department policy.            | 8   | A. Well, if it was allegations he         |          |
|       | Yeah, you're not supposed to be. I mean,  | 9   | would ask me if anything he'd go to       |          |
|       | there's times it happens but it's         | ı   | the superintendent who would in turn      |          |
| 1     | that's not the general rule.              | 1   | shoot him down to me. He wouldn't just    |          |
| F     | Q. Now, is that limited to cross          | 1   | pick up the phone and say, I want you to  |          |
| 1     | gender or does that relate to both        | l   | look into it. No, he'd go through the     |          |
|       | genders?                                  | l   | Superintendent.                           |          |
| ı     | A. No, cross genders. A male and          |     | Q. I see. Do you recall any               |          |
|       | female shouldn't male and male or         |     | situations where the Superintendent       |          |
| ł     | female and female, no.                    |     | contacted you and said, we have a         |          |
|       | Q. I'm sorry. So that I understand        | !   | grievance complaint from an inmate        |          |
|       | it, the one to one, did that relate to a  |     | alleging sexual misconduct by a member of |          |
| j .   | male officer with a female or vice versa? |     | the staff? A 202                          |          |
| l     | A. The department policy, it's male       |     | A. I'm sure there's been one.             |          |
|       | or female or male and a female. A female  |     | Q. Do you think there's just been         |          |
|       | inmate, a male staff member. Or if        |     | one?                                      |          |
|       | you're at a male institution, a female    |     | A. I'm it's happened. I have no           |          |
|       | officer.                                  |     | idea what                                 |          |
| _     |   |     |   |          |

U.S. DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

\*

LISA LAMBERT,

Plaintiff \* NO.: C.A.96-247-ERIE

VS

SUPERINTENDENT, \*

WILLIAM WOLF, \*

et al.,

Defendants \*

\*

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

DEPOSITION OF

ROGER BECK

SEPTEMBER 10, 1998

Any reproduction of this transcript is prohibited without authorization by the certifying agency

|   | Case 1:96-cv-00247-SJM Document 64-10   | )   | Filed 12/15/2006               | Page 18 of 28      | 3      |
|---|---|---|--------------------------------|--------------------|--------|
|   | Page /  |   |                                |                    | Page 6 |
| 1   | DEPOSITION  | 1   | INDE                           | x                  |        |
| 2   | OF  | 2   |                                |                    |        |
| 3   |   | Э   | WITNESS: ROGER BECK            |                    |        |
| 4   | ROGER BECK, taken on behalf of the  | 4   | EXAMINATION                    |                    |        |
| 5   | Plaintiffs herein, pursuant to the Rules  | 5   | By Attorney Krakoff            | 7 - 1              | 110    |
| 6   | of Civil Procedure, taken before me, the  | 6   | CERTIFICATE                    | 1                  | 111    |
| 7   | undersigned, Sbannon Hagerty, a Court   | 7   |                                |                    |        |
| 8   | Reporter and Notary Public in and for the   | В   |                                |                    |        |
| 9   | Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, at SCI  | 9   |                                |                    |        |
| 10  | Cambridge Springs, Cambridge Springs,   | 10  |                                |                    |        |
| 11  | Pennsylvania, on Thursday, September 10,  | 11  |                                |                    |        |
| 12  | 1998, at 1:22 p.m.  | 12  |                                |                    |        |
| 13  |   | 13  |                                |                    |        |
| 14  |   | 14  |                                |                    |        |
| 15  |   | 15  |                                |                    |        |
| 16  | -   | 16  |                                |                    |        |
| 17  |   | 17  |                                |                    |        |
| 18  |   | 1.8   |                                |                    |        |
| 19  |   | 19  |                                |                    |        |
| 20  |   | 20  |                                |                    |        |
| 21  | ·   | 21  |                                |                    |        |
| 22  |   | 22  |                                |                    |        |
| 23  |   | 23  |                                |                    |        |
| 24  |   | 24  |                                |                    |        |
| 25  |   | 25  |                                |                    |        |
|   | Page S  |   |                                |                    | Page 7 |
| 1   | APPEARANCES   | 1   | ехнівіт                        | PAGE               |        |
|   |   | ŀ   |                                |                    |        |
| 2   |   | 2   |                                |                    |        |
| 3   | JERE KRAKOFF, ESQUIRE   | 3   |                                | PAGE               |        |
| 3   | 1705 Allegheny Building   | 3   | NUMBER DESCRIPTION             | PAGE<br>IDENTIFIED |        |
| 3   | 1705 Allegheny Building 429 Forbes Avenue   | 3 4 5   |                                | IDENTIFIED         |        |
| 3<br>4<br>5   | 1705 Allegheny Building 429 Forbes Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15219  | 3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | NUMBER DESCRIPTION  NONE OFFER | IDENTIFIED         |        |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6  | 1705 Allegheny Building 429 Forbes Avenue   | 3<br>4<br>5<br>6  |                                | IDENTIFIED         |        |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | 1705 Allegheny Building 429 Forbes Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15219 Counsel for Plaintiffs   | 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7   |                                | IDENTIFIED         |        |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  | 1705 Allegheny Building 429 Forbes Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15219 Counsel for Plaintiffs THOMAS HALLOMAN, ESQUIRE  | 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  |                                | IDENTIFIED         |        |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   | 1705 Allegheny Building 429 Forbes Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15219 Counsel for Plaintiffs THOMAS HALLORAN, ESQUIRE PA Office of Attorney General  | 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8  |                                | IDENTIFIED         |        |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   | 1705 Allegheny Building 429 Forbes Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15219 Counsel for Plaintiffs THOMAS BALLORAN, ESQUIRE PA Office of Attorney General Litigation Section   | 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9   |                                | IDENTIFIED         |        |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11   | 1705 Allegheny Building 429 Forbes Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15219 Counsel for Plaintiffs  THOMAS HALLORAN, ESQUIRE PA Office of Attorney General Litigation Section 564 Forbes Avenue  | 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10   |                                | IDENTIFIED         |        |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12   | 1705 Allegheny Building 429 Forbes Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15219 Counsel for Plaintiffs  THOMAS BALLORAN, ESQUIRE PA Office of Attorney General Litigation Section 564 Forbes Avenue 6th Floor  | 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12   |                                | IDENTIFIED         |        |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13   | 1705 Allegheny Building 429 Forbes Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15219 Counsel for Plaintiffs  THOMAS HALLORAN, ESQUIRE PA Office of Attorney General Litigation Section 564 Forbes Avenue 6th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219   | 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13   |                                | IDENTIFIED         |        |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14                                     | 1705 Allegheny Building 429 Forbes Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15219 Counsel for Plaintiffs  THOMAS BALLORAN, ESQUIRE PA Office of Attorney General Litigation Section 564 Forbes Avenue 6th Floor  | 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   |                                | IDENTIFIED         |        |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15                               | 1705 Allegheny Building 429 Forbes Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15219 Counsel for Plaintiffs  THOMAS BALLORAN, ESQUIRE PA Office of Attorney General Litigation Section 564 Forbes Avenue 6th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 Counsel for Defendants  | 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15   |                                | IDENTIFIED         |        |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16                         | 1705 Allegheny Building 429 Forbes Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15219 Counsel for Plaintiffs  THOMAS HALLORAN, ESQUIRE PA Office of Attorney General Litigation Section 564 Forbes Avenue 6th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 Counsel for Defendants  Also Present: Angus R. Lore   | 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   |                                | IDENTIFIED         |        |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                   | 1705 Allegheny Building 429 Forbes Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15219 Counsel for Plaintiffs  THOMAS BALLORAN, ESQUIRE PA Office of Attorney General Litigation Section 564 Forbes Avenue 6th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 Counsel for Defendants  Also Present: Angus R. Lore 924 Cherry Street   | 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     |                                | IDENTIFIED         |        |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18             | 1705 Allegheny Building 429 Forbes Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15219 Counsel for Plaintiffs  THOMAS BALLORAN, ESQUIRE PA Office of Attorney General Litigation Section 564 Forbes Avenue 6th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 Counsel for Defendants  Also Present: Angus R. Lore 924 Cherry Street Suite 523   | 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               |                                | IDENTIFIED         |        |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20 | 1705 Allegheny Building 429 Forbes Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15219 Counsel for Plaintiffs  THOMAS BALLORAN, ESQUIRE PA Office of Attorney General Litigation Section 564 Forbes Avenue 6th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 Counsel for Defendants  Also Present: Angus R. Lore 924 Cherry Street   | 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19                         |                                | IDENTIFIED         |        |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 10 19 20 21   | 1705 Allegheny Building 429 Forbes Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15219 Counsel for Plaintiffs  THOMAS BALLORAN, ESQUIRE PA Office of Attorney General Litigation Section 564 Forbes Avenue 6th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 Counsel for Defendants  Also Present: Angus R. Lore 924 Cherry Street Suite 523 Philadelphia, PA 19107                        | 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             |                                | IDENTIFIED         |        |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 100 111 122 133 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22  | 1705 Allegheny Building 429 Forbes Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15219 Counsel for Plaintiffs  THOMAS BALLORAN, ESQUIRE PA Office of Attorney General Litigation Section 564 Forbes Avenue 6th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 Counsel for Defendants  Also Present: Angus R. Lore 924 Cherry Street Suite 523 Philadelphia, FA 19107  Deputy Superintendent | 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             |                                | IDENTIFIED         |        |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23   | 1705 Allegheny Building 429 Forbes Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15219 Counsel for Plaintiffs  THOMAS BALLORAN, ESQUIRE PA Office of Attorney General Litigation Section 564 Forbes Avenue 6th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 Counsel for Defendants  Also Present: Angus R. Lore 924 Cherry Street Suite 523 Philadelphia, PA 19107                        | 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 |                                | IDENTIFIED         |        |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 100 111 122 133 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22  | 1705 Allegheny Building 429 Forbes Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15219 Counsel for Plaintiffs  THOMAS BALLORAN, ESQUIRE PA Office of Attorney General Litigation Section 564 Forbes Avenue 6th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 Counsel for Defendants  Also Present: Angus R. Lore 924 Cherry Street Suite 523 Philadelphia, FA 19107  Deputy Superintendent | 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             |                                | IDENTIFIED         |        |

|           | Page                                     | - 1 |   | Page |
|-----------|--|-----|---|------|
| 1         | OBJECTION PAGE                           | 1   | involved with investigating some issues.  |      |
| 2         |  | 2   | If you don't understand a                 |      |
|           | TORNEY PAGE                              |     | question just tell me so and I'll         |      |
| 4 Hal     | 11ocan 30, 31, 38, 53,                   |     | rephrase it until you understand it,      |      |
| 5         | 104, 106                                 | 1   | okay?                                     |      |
| 6         |  |     | A. Understood.                            |      |
| 7         |  |     | Q. What year did you begin working        |      |
| B .       |  |     | at Cambridge Springs?                     |      |
| 9         |  |     | A. February of '94, I believe.            |      |
| 0         |  |     | Q. What was that about a a                |      |
| 1         |  |     | little bit over somewhat over a year      |      |
| 2         |  |     | after the institution actually opened?    |      |
| 3         |  |     | A. Correct, sir.                          |      |
| 4         |  |     | Q. What was your position when you        |      |
| 5         |  | 1   | came here?                                |      |
| 6         |  |     | A. My position when I came here was       |      |
| 7         |  |     | a training sergeant.                      |      |
| 8         |  |     | Q. At some point were you promoted?       |      |
| 9         |  |     | A. Correct, sir.                          |      |
| 9         |  |     | Q. What were you promoted to?             |      |
| ı         |  |     | A. I was promoted to a lieutenant.        |      |
| ?         |  |     | Q. When did that promotion take           |      |
| 1         |  | 1   | place? And by the way, when I ask you     |      |
| •         |  |     | when I'm not asking you for a precise     |      |
| 5         | 37-47-10-1                               | 25  | date if you don't remember the precise    |      |
| _         | Page 9                                   |     |   | Page |
| i         | PROCEEDINGS                              |     | date, but give me your best recollection  |      |
| _         | OCED DECK HAVING FIRST DECK DAILY SWODY  | 1   | of when it was.                           |      |
|           | OGER BECK, HAVING FIRST BEEN DULY SWORN, |     | A. I believe it was approximately         |      |
|           | ESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:                     | r   | two years later.                          |      |
| 5<br>: EV | / A MINI A TION                          |     | Q. Okay. So sometime perhaps in           |      |
|           | (AMINATION                               | 1   | early 1996?                               |      |
|           | ATTORNEY KRAKOFF:                        | ſ   | A. I believe so, yes.                     |      |
| Q.        | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·    |     | Q. Did any of your responsibilities       |      |
|           | u probably know why you're here, but     |     | while you were a training sergeant        |      |
|           | me just explain briefly. I represent     |     | involve engaging in investigations at the |      |
|           | d Mr. Love as Co-counsel represent       |     | institution?                              |      |
|           | ree women, Silvia Vasquez, Robin         | 12  | 2 5                                       |      |
|           | illips and Lisa Lambert, who have filed  | 13  |   |      |
|           | parate lawsuits against officials at     | 14  |   |      |
|           | s prison alleging that their civil       | 15  | - 3                                       |      |
|           | hts were violated as a result of what    |     | were a training sergeant and appointed a  |      |
|           | characterize as inappropriate sexual     |     | lieutenant, did you have any other        |      |
|           | nduct toward them. These are their       |     | positions?                                |      |
|           | egations.                                |     | A. No, sir. When I was training           |      |
| )         | You're not named — you're not a          | 20  | sergeant what I did was just training     |      |
|           | fendant, but you're somebody whose       | 21  | sergeant.                                 |      |
|           | position I want to take because I've     |     | Q. Okay. So you remained a training       |      |
|           | n your name on documents that have       |     | sergeant until the time you became a      |      |
| bee       | en furnished in discovery and because I  |     | lieutenant?                               | A 17 |
| •         | ow that at least at one point you were   | 25  | A. Correct, sir.                          |      |

|     | Case 1:96-cv-00247-SJM Document 64-10                 |    | Filed 12/15/2006 Page 20 of 28   |
|-----|---|----|--|
| Г   |   | "  |  |
| Ι,  | Page 12  Q. At some point after you were              | 1  | Page 14  |
|     | appointed to the position of lieutenant               |    | security lieutenant, you had some<br>responsibilities in connection with   |
|     | here, did you have involvement with                   |    | investigations?  |
|     | investigations of incidents that occurred             |    | A. Correct, sir.   |
| 1 ' | or allegedly occurred at the institution?             |    |  |
|     | A. As a lieutenant, yes, I did.                       | 3  | Q. Now, did your responsibilities in   |
|     | · ·   |    | the area of investigations extend to both  |
|     | Q. Okay. Did you was there an                         |    | investigating inmates for possible   |
| 1   | investigative unit or office within this institution? |    | 3 inappropriate conduct as well as   |
| 1   |   |    | personnel or was it limited to one or the  |
| 1   | A. Yes, sir.  |    | other?   |
|     | Q. Okay. Was there a name for that?                   | 1  | A. It was both, sir.   |
|     | A. It would be the intelligence                       |    | Q. How much of your time and it may  |
| 1   | captain's office or the security                      | 1  | have changed over time strike that   |
|     | lieutenant's office.                                  | 1  | before I complete that thought.  |
|     | Q. Okay. Who was the intelligence                     | 15 | 2  |
|     | captain at the time you were appointed                | 1  | lieutenant at this institution?  |
|     | lieutenant? Was it Bartlet (phonetic) or              | 17 | A. Basically, yes.   |
| 1   | was it Lazenbee (phonetic)?                           |    | Q. Approximately how much of your  |
| 19  | A. I believe at that time it was                      | :  | time was spent as a security lieutenant  |
|     | Captain Bartlet.                                      |    | as opposed to the emergency preparedness   |
| 1   | Q. Then at some point after that,                     | 21 | lieutenant?  |
| 22  | Lazenbee became the captain?                          | 22 | A. It varies depending on what was   |
| 23  | A. Correct, sir. I don't have dates                   | 23 |  |
| 24  | or  | 24 | Q. Going on.   |
| 25  | Q. Sure.  | 25 | A going on. Yes, sir.  |
|     | Page 13   |    | Page 15  |
| 1   | A on that.  | I  | Q. Was there anybody else other than   |
| 2   | Q. Did you become and who else                        | 2  | the intelligence captain and the security  |
| 3   | was in that office working on                         | 3  | lieutenant who routinely was assigned to   |
| 4   | investigative matters other than the                  | 4  | investigations?  |
| 5   | intelligence captain? Were there any                  | 5  | A. Not that I'm aware of, sir.   |
| 6   | other personnel who assisted on a routine             | 6  | Q. So it was basically you and the   |
| 7   | basis in investigations?                              | 7  | captain?   |
|     | A. I was security lieutenant which                    | 8  | A. Yes, sir. As directed by, you   |
| 9   | was my title also.                                    | 9  | know, my supervisor.   |
| 10  | Q. When you became lieutenant at                      | 10 | Q. Exactly. You, on your own, did  |
|     | the time you became a lieutenant were you             |    | not have the authority to begin or to  |
|     | named the security lieutenant?                        |    | trigger to begin an investigation. You   |
|     | A. I was named an emergency                           |    | had to   |
|     | preparedness coordinator and security                 |    | A. Not unless directed by my   |
|     | lieutenant.   |    | supervisor.  |
|     | Q. Okay. You had two hats that you                    |    | Q. I was just going to say, in every   |
|     | were wearing?   |    | day conversation a lot of us know what   |
| 18  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·                 |    | the end of the question is and we talk   |
|     | Q. But at the time of your                            |    | over each other, whatever. But it will   |
|     | appointment or your promotion to                      |    | be clearer if you wait for me. I'm not   |
| .U  | appointment of your promotion to                      | 20 | of the transfer of the transfe |

A 178

22 record.

24 Q. Why don't you in a general sense

21 offended by it, but we just need a clear

25 give me an idea through a description of

22 your responsibilities was in the area of

20 appointment or your promotion to 21 lieutenant one of your pos --- one of

That was one, yes.

25 Q. Within that framework as a

23 security lieutenant?

24 A.

| Г        |   |          |  |         |
|----------|---|----------|--|---------|
|          |   | Page 24  |  | Page 26 |
|          | conducted. And these investigations are     | 1        | ATTORNEY HALLORAN:                                   |         |
|          | 2 going to be focusing on the alleged       | 2        |  |         |
|          | sexual exploitation or sexual abuse of      | 3        | THIOTALL INCIDENT.                                   |         |
|          | women, women inmates at the prison. So      | 4        | Are you claiming                                     |         |
|          | that you'll know what I'm talking about     |          | attorney/client privilege or                         |         |
|          | when I'm asking you about sexual            |          | ?  |         |
|          | 7 exploitation or sexual abuse, I'm talking | 7        | ATTORNEY HALLORAN:                                   |         |
|          | about such things as the touching of        | 8        | Yes.   |         |
|          | breasts, the genital areas of an inmate     | 9        | ATTORNEY KRAKOFF:                                    |         |
|          | or the buttocks, kissing, caressing or      | 10       | Is there an objection                                |         |
|          | fondling of an inmate and also attempts     |          | here?  |         |
|          | by prison personnel to either force or      | 12       | ATTORNEY HALLORAN:                                   |         |
|          | encourage inmates either by threats or by   | 13       | He can say whether or not                            |         |
|          | words, by promises to give them gifts, to   |          | he discussed it. The content is                      |         |
|          | do those things to encourage or force       | 15       | the privilege.                                       |         |
|          | them to engage in sexual acts with them.    | 16       | ATTORNEY KRAKOFF:                                    |         |
|          | Do you understand the definition?           | 17       | I'm going to ask that he                             |         |
|          | A. Yes, sir.                                | F        | answer that.   |         |
|          | Q. Now, you weren't subpoenaed to           | 19       | ATTORNEY HALLORAN:                                   |         |
|          | bring anything with you. I'm going to       | 20       | He can answer it.                                    |         |
|          | ask whether you had brought any             |          | A. I'm sorry, would you repeat it?                   |         |
|          | investigative files with you today?         |          | BY ATTORNEY KRAKOFF:                                 |         |
|          | A. No, sir, I haven't.                      |          | Q. Have you discussed with anybody                   |         |
|          | Q. Have you reviewed any                    |          | the content of any part of the testimony             |         |
| 123      | investigative files in order to prepare     | 25       | given in the context of depositions in               |         |
|          |   | Page 25  |  | Page 27 |
| ı        | for this deposition?                        | 1        | this lawsuit?  |         |
|          | A. Absolutely not, sir.                     |          | A. I have not talked to anyone about                 |         |
| E        | Q. You seem to be proud of that fact        | 3        | the content or depositions. No, sir.                 | Ť       |
|          | the way you said it.                        |          | Q. When, if you have, and how did                    |         |
|          | A. No, sir, being truthful.                 |          | you learn about the existence of this                |         |
|          | Q. Okay. Have you reviewed any              | 6        | lawsuit for the first time?                          |         |
|          | documents prior to the deposition in        | 7        | A. How did I learn about it?                         |         |
|          | order to assist you in recalling events     |          | Q. Yes. Did you know about this                      |         |
| 1        | and persons and dates?                      |          | lawsuit at some point prior to today?                |         |
|          | A. No, sir, I have not.                     | 10       | A. Yes, sir. I read it in the                        |         |
| 1        | Q. Have you read any portions of the        | <b>I</b> | newspaper.   |         |
|          | transcripts taken in this case of           |          | Q. Is that how you originally became                 |         |
|          | Superintendent Wolf, Deputy Karmanic,       | 13       | aware of it?   |         |
|          | Captain Lazenbee, Captain Bartlet or        | 14       | ,  |         |
|          | anybody else?                               | 15       | ,  |         |
|          | A. I have not seen any transcripts,         |          | the authority to authorize an                        |         |
| 1        | sir.  | i        | investigation of prison personnel?                   |         |
| ı        | Q. Have you discussed with any of           | 18       | •  |         |
|          | those persons the testimony that they       | 19       |  |         |
| 1        | gave at depositions in this case?           | 20       | 1 J  | Ì       |
| !        | A. No, sir.                                 |          | that.  |         |
|          | I POS ODUBOSY Molograd if to man 45.        | 22       | O Okov Pret occuming that had not                    |         |
| 22       | t in just the                               |          |  | j       |
| 23       | sum or substance of either the entirety     | 23       | absent, it's the superintendent's call?              | A 179   |
| 23<br>24 |   |          | absent, it's the superintendent's call? A. Yes, sir. | A 179   |

| Page 32   | Dece 3   |
|---|--|
| -   | Page 34  |
|   |  |
| 3 Obviously aware of the burden of  |  |
| 4 responsibility as to conducting   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
| 7 Whether he's aware of how and   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
| 1 of 10 not usking min  |  |
|   |  |
| i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i                                       |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
| 20 office of special investigations   | •  |
| 21 is conducting investigations.  |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
| 11  |  |
| 25 commissioner's office pronounces   |  |
| Page 33   | Page 35  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
| 3 office.   |  |
| 4 ATTORNEY HALLORAN:  |  |
| 5 Right. And that's what  |  |
| 6 he said he didn't know.   |  |
| 7 BY ATTORNEY KRAKOFF:  |  |
| 8 Q. You don't know?  |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
| 11 is it the security lieutenant or the                                     |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
| 17 participated in any investigations of                                    |  |
| 18 alleged or nossible council ovaloitation                                 | :  |
| or abuse of Cambridge Springs inmates by                                    |  |
| 1.5 or abuse of Cambringe Springs inmates by                                |  |
|   |  |
| 20 Cambridge Springs personnel?   |  |
| 20 Cambridge Springs personnel? 21 A. Yes, sir.                             |  |
| 20 Cambridge Springs personnel? 21 A. Yes, sir. 22 Q. Prior to becoming the |  |
| 20 Cambridge Springs personnel? 21 A. Yes, sir.                             | A ·  |
|   | What I'm saying is, he's  3 obviously aware of the burden of 4 responsibility as to conducting 5 investigations here. And the 6 question you're asking him is, 7 whether he's aware of how and 8 what the method was that the 9 officer in professional 10 responsibility ended up being 11 here. 12 ATTORNEY KRAKOFF: 13 No. 14 ATTORNEY HALLORAN: 15 You're not asking him 16 that? 17 ATTORNEY KRAKOFF: 18 No. I'm asking for a 19 situation not where OPR, or 20 office of special investigations 21 is conducting investigations. 22 I'm asking whether you can go 23 above the superintendent to the 24 commissioner's office and the 25 commissioner's office pronounces  Page 33  1 that they want something 2 investigated by his security 3 office. 4 ATTORNEY HALLORAN: 5 Right. And that's what 6 he said he didn't know. 7 BY ATTORNEY KRAKOFF: 8 Q. You don't know? 9 A. I have no knowledge of it. 10 Q. Since becoming intelligence — 11 is it the security lieutenant or the 12 intelligence lieutenant that you're 13 called? Security lieutenant? |

| D 26   | 0 Filed 12/15/2006 Page 23 of 28  |
|--|---|
| Page 36  | Page 38   |
| 1 exploitation or abuse, sexual abuse that   | I want you to think as long as necessary  |
| 2 is, of Cambridge Springs inmates by  | 2 and I can take five minutes, I can walk   |
| 3 Cambridge Springs personnel?   | 3 outside while you're thinking. I don't  |
| 4 A. No.   | 4 want this to be something that you only   |
| 5 Q. And again, so that I can at least   | 5 give five seconds thought to. You give  |
| 6 get some idea of when it was that you  | 6 it as much time as you need.  |
| 7 became the intelligence the security   | 7 ATTORNEY HALLORAN:  |
| 8 lieutenant that is, can you tell me what   | 8 I'm not going to have the   |
| 9 year you became the security lieutenant?   | 9 witness sit here and spend the  |
| 10 ATTORNEY HALLORAN:  | 10 afternoon trying to remember the   |
| 11 You've asked him that   | 11 names. You give the ones that  |
| 12 already and he said he doesn't  | 12 you can recall after some  |
| 13 know for sure.  | 13 consideration and Mr. Krakoff can  |
|  | 14 ask you later if he thinks you   |
|  | 15 were involved in others that you   |
| 15 What I was going to do  | 16 have forgotten at this moment.   |
| 16 since we are sitting at Cambridge   | 17 BY ATTORNEY KRAKOFF:   |
| 17 Springs, I would like to adjourn  | 18 Q. We're going to take a two minute  |
| 18 this deposition for five minutes,   | 19 recess while he kind of thinks. Here's a   |
| 19 because we've been patient all  | 20 piece of paper that you can write down   |
| 20 day, and to have the lieutenant   |   |
| 21 contact whoever would have that   | 21 the names if you can recall any such   |
| 22 information so he can tell me.  | 22 investigations that you participated in.   |
| 23 ATTORNEY HALLORAN:  | 23 A. Yes, sir.   |
| Okay.  | 24 SHORT BREAK TAKEN  |
| 25 BY ATTORNEY KRAKOFF:  | 25 BY ATTORNEY KRAKOFF:   |
| Page 37  | Page 39   |
| 1 Q. What are you reading from?  | 1 Q. What were they?  |
| 2 A. A notebook.   | 2 A. The staff name?  |
| 3 Q. And can you tell me the date that   | 3 Q. Yes.   |
| 4 you became the security lieutenant?  | 4 A. Icker.   |
| 1 4 VOI Occamic the because   measure  | 4 A. Icker.   |
|  | 5 Q. Okay.  |
| 5 A. Yes, sir, I can.  | 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -   |
| 5 A. Yes, sir, I can. 6 Q. When was that?  | 5 Q. Okay.  |
| <ul> <li>5 A. Yes, sir, I can.</li> <li>6 Q. When was that?</li> <li>7 A. April 11th of 1994.</li> </ul>   | 5 Q. Okay. 6 A. And Marty Miller.   |
| <ul> <li>5 A. Yes, sir, I can.</li> <li>6 Q. When was that?</li> <li>7 A. April 11th of 1994.</li> <li>8 Q. '94?</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>5 Q. Okay.</li> <li>6 A. And Marty Miller.</li> <li>7 Q. The little I don't know that</li> <li>8 I would call that a booklet some sort</li> </ul>  |
| <ul> <li>5 A. Yes, sir, I can.</li> <li>6 Q. When was that?</li> <li>7 A. April 11th of 1994.</li> <li>8 Q. '94?</li> <li>9 A. Yes, sir.</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>5 Q. Okay.</li> <li>6 A. And Marty Miller.</li> <li>7 Q. The little I don't know that</li> <li>8 I would call that a booklet some sort</li> <li>9 of a book or whatever it is that you</li> </ul>  |
| <ul> <li>5 A. Yes, sir, I can.</li> <li>6 Q. When was that?</li> <li>7 A. April 11th of 1994.</li> <li>8 Q. '94?</li> <li>9 A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>10 Q. Now, I would like you to identify</li> </ul>   | 5 Q. Okay. 6 A. And Marty Miller. 7 Q. The little I don't know that 8 I would call that a booklet some sort 9 of a book or whatever it is that you 10 referred to previously to get the date of   |
| 5 A. Yes, sir, I can. 6 Q. When was that? 7 A. April 11th of 1994. 8 Q. '94? 9 A. Yes, sir. 10 Q. Now, I would like you to identify 11 by the name of the staff member every   | 5 Q. Okay. 6 A. And Marty Miller. 7 Q. The little I don't know that 8 I would call that a booklet some sort 9 of a book or whatever it is that you 10 referred to previously to get the date of 11 your promotion to security lieutenant.   |
| 5 A. Yes, sir, I can. 6 Q. When was that? 7 A. April 11th of 1994. 8 Q. '94? 9 A. Yes, sir. 10 Q. Now, I would like you to identify 11 by the name of the staff member every 12 investigation of alleged or possible   | 5 Q. Okay. 6 A. And Marty Miller. 7 Q. The little I don't know that 8 I would call that a booklet some sort 9 of a book or whatever it is that you 10 referred to previously to get the date of 11 your promotion to security lieutenant. 12 Would there is there anything  |
| 5 A. Yes, sir, I can. 6 Q. When was that? 7 A. April 11th of 1994. 8 Q. '94? 9 A. Yes, sir. 10 Q. Now, I would like you to identify 11 by the name of the staff member every 12 investigation of alleged or possible 13 sexual exploitation or sexual abuse of a   | 5 Q. Okay. 6 A. And Marty Miller. 7 Q. The little — I don't know that 8 I would call that a booklet — some sort 9 of a book or whatever it is that you 10 referred to previously to get the date of 11 your promotion to security lieutenant. 12 Would there — is there anything — 13 what kind of — what is that if I may  |
| 5 A. Yes, sir, I can. 6 Q. When was that? 7 A. April 11th of 1994. 8 Q. '94? 9 A. Yes, sir. 10 Q. Now, I would like you to identify 11 by the name of the staff member every 12 investigation of alleged or possible 13 sexual exploitation or sexual abuse of a 14 Cambridge Springs inmate by a Cambridge  | 5 Q. Okay. 6 A. And Marty Miller. 7 Q. The little — I don't know that 8 I would call that a booklet — some sort 9 of a book or whatever it is that you 10 referred to previously to get the date of 11 your promotion to security lieutenant. 12 Would there — is there anything — 13 what kind of — what is that if I may 14 ask? Is that like a calendar or —?  |
| 5 A. Yes, sir, I can. 6 Q. When was that? 7 A. April 11th of 1994. 8 Q. '94? 9 A. Yes, sir. 10 Q. Now, I would like you to identify 11 by the name of the staff member every 12 investigation of alleged or possible 13 sexual exploitation or sexual abuse of a 14 Cambridge Springs inmate by a Cambridge 15 Springs staff member that you have  | 5 Q. Okay. 6 A. And Marty Miller. 7 Q. The little I don't know that 8 I would call that a booklet some sort 9 of a book or whatever it is that you 10 referred to previously to get the date of 11 your promotion to security lieutenant. 12 Would there is there anything 13 what kind of what is that if I may 14 ask? Is that like a calendar or? 15 A. It's a calendar, sir.  |
| 5 A. Yes, sir, I can. 6 Q. When was that? 7 A. April 11th of 1994. 8 Q. '94? 9 A. Yes, sir. 10 Q. Now, I would like you to identify 11 by the name of the staff member every 12 investigation of alleged or possible 13 sexual exploitation or sexual abuse of a 14 Cambridge Springs inmate by a Cambridge 15 Springs staff member that you have 16 participated while security lieutenant.   | 5 Q. Okay. 6 A. And Marty Miller. 7 Q. The little — I don't know that 8 I would call that a booklet — some sort 9 of a book or whatever it is that you 10 referred to previously to get the date of 11 your promotion to security lieutenant. 12 Would there — is there anything — 13 what kind of — what is that if I may 14 ask? Is that like a calendar or —? 15 A. It's a calendar, sir. 16 Q. Did you — would that calendar  |
| 5 A. Yes, sir, I can. 6 Q. When was that? 7 A. April 11th of 1994. 8 Q. '94? 9 A. Yes, sir. 10 Q. Now, I would like you to identify 11 by the name of the staff member every 12 investigation of alleged or possible 13 sexual exploitation or sexual abuse of a 14 Cambridge Springs inmate by a Cambridge 15 Springs staff member that you have 16 participated while security lieutenant. 17 A. I don't believe I could name  | 5 Q. Okay. 6 A. And Marty Miller. 7 Q. The little — I don't know that 8 I would call that a booklet — some sort 9 of a book or whatever it is that you 10 referred to previously to get the date of 11 your promotion to security lieutenant. 12 Would there — is there anything — 13 what kind of — what is that if I may 14 ask? Is that like a calendar or —? 15 A. It's a calendar, sir. 16 Q. Did you — would that calendar 17 assist you in identifying the   |
| 5 A. Yes, sir, I can. 6 Q. When was that? 7 A. April 11th of 1994. 8 Q. '94? 9 A. Yes, sir. 10 Q. Now, I would like you to identify 11 by the name of the staff member every 12 investigation of alleged or possible 13 sexual exploitation or sexual abuse of a 14 Cambridge Springs inmate by a Cambridge 15 Springs staff member that you have 16 participated while security lieutenant. 17 A. I don't believe I could name 18 every single one, sir.  | 5 Q. Okay. 6 A. And Marty Miller. 7 Q. The little — I don't know that 8 I would call that a booklet — some sort 9 of a book or whatever it is that you 10 referred to previously to get the date of 11 your promotion to security lieutenant. 12 Would there — is there anything — 13 what kind of — what is that if I may 14 ask? Is that like a calendar or —? 15 A. It's a calendar, sir. 16 Q. Did you — would that calendar 17 assist you in identifying the 18 investigations that you participated in?   |
| 5 A. Yes, sir, I can. 6 Q. When was that? 7 A. April 11th of 1994. 8 Q. '94? 9 A. Yes, sir. 10 Q. Now, I would like you to identify 11 by the name of the staff member every 12 investigation of alleged or possible 13 sexual exploitation or sexual abuse of a 14 Cambridge Springs inmate by a Cambridge 15 Springs staff member that you have 16 participated while security lieutenant. 17 A. I don't believe I could name 18 every single one, sir. 19 Q. Well, I understand that you might  | 5 Q. Okay. 6 A. And Marty Miller. 7 Q. The little I don't know that 8 I would call that a booklet some sort 9 of a book or whatever it is that you 10 referred to previously to get the date of 11 your promotion to security lieutenant. 12 Would there is there anything 13 what kind of what is that if I may 14 ask? Is that like a calendar or? 15 A. It's a calendar, sir. 16 Q. Did you would that calendar 17 assist you in identifying the 18 investigations that you participated in? 19 A. No. No way, sir.  |
| 5 A. Yes, sir, I can. 6 Q. When was that? 7 A. April 11th of 1994. 8 Q. '94? 9 A. Yes, sir. 10 Q. Now, I would like you to identify 11 by the name of the staff member every 12 investigation of alleged or possible 13 sexual exploitation or sexual abuse of a 14 Cambridge Springs inmate by a Cambridge 15 Springs staff member that you have 16 participated while security lieutenant. 17 A. I don't believe I could name 18 every single one, sir. 19 Q. Well, I understand that you might 20 not be able to name I would like you  | 5 Q. Okay. 6 A. And Marty Miller. 7 Q. The little — I don't know that 8 I would call that a booklet — some sort 9 of a book or whatever it is that you 10 referred to previously to get the date of 11 your promotion to security lieutenant. 12 Would there — is there anything — 13 what kind of — what is that if I may 14 ask? Is that like a calendar or —? 15 A. It's a calendar, sir. 16 Q. Did you — would that calendar 17 assist you in identifying the 18 investigations that you participated in? 19 A. No. No way, sir. 20 Q. Do you believe that there are  |
| 5 A. Yes, sir, I can. 6 Q. When was that? 7 A. April 11th of 1994. 8 Q. '94? 9 A. Yes, sir. 10 Q. Now, I would like you to identify 11 by the name of the staff member every 12 investigation of alleged or possible 13 sexual exploitation or sexual abuse of a 14 Cambridge Springs inmate by a Cambridge 15 Springs staff member that you have 16 participated while security lieutenant. 17 A. I don't believe I could name 18 every single one, sir. 19 Q. Well, I understand that you might 20 not be able to name I would like you 21 to name for me everyone   | 5 Q. Okay. 6 A. And Marty Miller. 7 Q. The little I don't know that 8 I would call that a booklet some sort 9 of a book or whatever it is that you 10 referred to previously to get the date of 11 your promotion to security lieutenant. 12 Would there is there anything 13 what kind of what is that if I may 14 ask? Is that like a calendar or? 15 A. It's a calendar, sir. 16 Q. Did you would that calendar 17 assist you in identifying the 18 investigations that you participated in? 19 A. No. No way, sir. 20 Q. Do you believe that there are 21 more investigations that you were   |
| 5 A. Yes, sir, I can. 6 Q. When was that? 7 A. April 11th of 1994. 8 Q. '94? 9 A. Yes, sir. 10 Q. Now, I would like you to identify 11 by the name of the staff member every 12 investigation of alleged or possible 13 sexual exploitation or sexual abuse of a 14 Cambridge Springs inmate by a Cambridge 15 Springs staff member that you have 16 participated while security lieutenant. 17 A. I don't believe I could name 18 every single one, sir. 19 Q. Well, I understand that you might 20 not be able to name I would like you 21 to name for me everyone 22 ATTORNEY HALLORAN:   | 5 Q. Okay. 6 A. And Marty Miller. 7 Q. The little — I don't know that 8 I would call that a booklet — some sort 9 of a book or whatever it is that you 10 referred to previously to get the date of 11 your promotion to security lieutenant. 12 Would there — is there anything — 13 what kind of — what is that if I may 14 ask? Is that like a calendar or —? 15 A. It's a calendar, sir. 16 Q. Did you — would that calendar 17 assist you in identifying the 18 investigations that you participated in? 19 A. No. No way, sir. 20 Q. Do you believe that there are 21 more investigations that you were 22 involved in of the nature that I   |
| 5 A. Yes, sir, I can. 6 Q. When was that? 7 A. April 11th of 1994. 8 Q. '94? 9 A. Yes, sir. 10 Q. Now, I would like you to identify 11 by the name of the staff member every 12 investigation of alleged or possible 13 sexual exploitation or sexual abuse of a 14 Cambridge Springs inmate by a Cambridge 15 Springs staff member that you have 16 participated while security lieutenant. 17 A. I don't believe I could name 18 every single one, sir. 19 Q. Well, I understand that you might 20 not be able to name I would like you 21 to name for me everyone 22 ATTORNEY HALLORAN: 23 That you can recall.                         | 5 Q. Okay. 6 A. And Marty Miller. 7 Q. The little — I don't know that 8 I would call that a booklet — some sort 9 of a book or whatever it is that you 10 referred to previously to get the date of 11 your promotion to security lieutenant. 12 Would there — is there anything — 13 what kind of — what is that if I may 14 ask? Is that like a calendar or —? 15 A. It's a calendar, sir. 16 Q. Did you — would that calendar 17 assist you in identifying the 18 investigations that you participated in? 19 A. No. No way, sir. 20 Q. Do you believe that there are 21 more investigations that you were 22 involved in of the nature that I 23 described and where there were   |
| 5 A. Yes, sir, I can. 6 Q. When was that? 7 A. April 11th of 1994. 8 Q. '94? 9 A. Yes, sir. 10 Q. Now, I would like you to identify 11 by the name of the staff member every 12 investigation of alleged or possible 13 sexual exploitation or sexual abuse of a 14 Cambridge Springs inmate by a Cambridge 15 Springs staff member that you have 16 participated while security lieutenant. 17 A. I don't believe I could name 18 every single one, sir. 19 Q. Well, I understand that you might 20 not be able to name I would like you 21 to name for me everyone 22 ATTORNEY HALLORAN: 23 That you can recall. 24 BY ATTORNEY KRAKOFF: | 5 Q. Okay. 6 A. And Marty Miller. 7 Q. The little — I don't know that 8 I would call that a booklet — some sort 9 of a book or whatever it is that you 10 referred to previously to get the date of 11 your promotion to security lieutenant. 12 Would there — is there anything — 13 what kind of — what is that if I may 14 ask? Is that like a calendar or —? 15 A. It's a calendar, sir. 16 Q. Did you — would that calendar 17 assist you in identifying the 18 investigations that you participated in? 19 A. No. No way, sir. 20 Q. Do you believe that there are 21 more investigations that you were 22 involved in of the nature that I A 181 23 described and where there were 24 allegations of sexual abuse against an |
| 5 A. Yes, sir, I can. 6 Q. When was that? 7 A. April 11th of 1994. 8 Q. '94? 9 A. Yes, sir. 10 Q. Now, I would like you to identify 11 by the name of the staff member every 12 investigation of alleged or possible 13 sexual exploitation or sexual abuse of a 14 Cambridge Springs inmate by a Cambridge 15 Springs staff member that you have 16 participated while security lieutenant. 17 A. I don't believe I could name 18 every single one, sir. 19 Q. Well, I understand that you might 20 not be able to name I would like you 21 to name for me everyone 22 ATTORNEY HALLORAN: 23 That you can recall.                         | 5 Q. Okay. 6 A. And Marty Miller. 7 Q. The little — I don't know that 8 I would call that a booklet — some sort 9 of a book or whatever it is that you 10 referred to previously to get the date of 11 your promotion to security lieutenant. 12 Would there — is there anything — 13 what kind of — what is that if I may 14 ask? Is that like a calendar or —? 15 A. It's a calendar, sir. 16 Q. Did you — would that calendar 17 assist you in identifying the 18 investigations that you participated in? 19 A. No. No way, sir. 20 Q. Do you believe that there are 21 more investigations that you were 22 involved in of the nature that I 23 described and where there were   |

|      | Case 1.90-CV-00247-SJIVI   |          | 11 04-10 Filed 12/15/2000 Page 24 01 26 |        |
|------|--|----------|---|--------|
|      |  | Page 64  | Pa                                      | age 66 |
|      | questioning Lieutenant Beck  | ŀ        | 1 not saying I'm not                    |        |
|      | rather than calling an end to the  |          | 2 guaranteeing that because of the      |        |
|      | deposition until we can get those  | į        | 3 name here that that means there's     |        |
|      | books. And then we will arrange  |          | 4 a file.                               |        |
| 3    | to what we would like to do  |          | 5 ATTORNEY KRAKOFF:                     |        |
| 6    | would be to have a way where we  | ĺ        | 6 Well, I understand that,              |        |
| 7    | can review the notebooks to see  |          | 7 but let me just make it clear.        |        |
| 8    | whether there are things that are  |          | 8 Like for example Carl Zimmerman,      |        |
|      | pertinent. And then after that   |          | 9 we would like whatever documents,     |        |
| 10   | if we think that it's necessary  |          | 10 if there are if there's an           |        |
| 11   | to recall Lieutenant Beck or   |          | 11 extraordinary occurrence report.     |        |
| 12   | whatever, we can do it at that   |          | 12 If there is a written reprimand      |        |
| 13   | time. Is that agreeable to you?  |          | 13 or written warning. If there are     |        |
| 14   | <del>-</del>   |          | 14 any investigative documents          |        |
| 15   |  |          | 15 associated with that. If there       |        |
| 16   |  | I .      | 16 are notes taken by an                |        |
| 17   |  |          | 17 investigative officer, talking       |        |
|      | before when I said whether we did  |          | 18 about Lisa Gunnerson or somebody     |        |
|      | have materials on I might  |          | 19 else, we would like those. Paul      |        |
|      | have said that we have files or  |          | 20 Walton, there has to be fairly       |        |
|      | something or documents in  |          | 21 extensive files for that I would     |        |
|      | connection with, I think, Mary   | <b>t</b> |   |        |
|      | and I think I said Miller and  |          | 22 assume because that case was         |        |
|      | Raun. Much of the documents  | t t      | 23 prosecuted in the criminal           |        |
|      | I think Mary's is strictly   |          | 24 courts. We've gotten a lot in        |        |
|      | and the state of t |          | 25 connection with Icker, Jim Mary,     |        |
| 1.   | limited to a report from the   | Page 65  |   | ige 67 |
|      | office of special investigation  |          | 1 I think the OPR.                      |        |
|      | or OPR and I don't have we   |          | I don't know if there's                 |        |
|      | don't have any other documents.  |          | 3 anything Jerome Coffee,               |        |
|      |  |          | 4 Schmidt, Rogers. If there's           |        |
|      | And I guess what we're   |          | 5 anything for Lieutenant Beck,         |        |
|      | saying is that if we would like  |          | 6 Wayne Young, Officer Stone, Harry     |        |
|      | those files to be looked through   |          | 7 Stewart. We have we received          |        |
| 0    | for each of the people who have  |          | 8 a lot on Raun. I assume that's        |        |
|      | been identified in these   |          | 9 everything. I don't know if           |        |
|      | depositions and to see whether   |          | 10 there's anything for Monteho         |        |
|      | there are files and then   |          | 11 (phonetic). His name was brought     |        |
|      | obviously to see if there are any  |          | 12 up in connection with an             |        |
|      | other files that would fit within  | 13       | interview with the Metzker              |        |
|      | those categories.  | 14       | 14 (phonetic) interview.                |        |
| 15   | ATTORNEY HALLORAN:   | 15       |   |        |
| 16   | We've looked at some of  | 16       | 6 Arnold Dequine (phonetic), former     | ĺ      |
|      | this we've looked through  | 17       | 7 laundry supervisor. Richard           |        |
|      | four files. The source of the  | 18       | 8 Hammers, I think we have              |        |
|      | confusion might be, I believe,   | 19       | 9 something. I don't know if it's       |        |
|      | some of these matters arose after  |          | o an OPR report or something. We        |        |
| ŀ    | the fact and related to the  |          | 1 do have something on him, but I       |        |
|      | coincidence where for which there  |          | 2 don't know that we have               |        |
|      | weren't any files or of course   |          | 3 everything. Randolf. I think A 182    | İ      |
|      | the actions had already been   | <b>I</b> | 4 Lieutenant Morts did come             |        |
| 25 1 | taken without files. I mean, I'm   | 25       | 5 afterwards, but I don't know how      | İ      |

| Case 1:96-cv-00247-SJM Document 64-          | 10 Filed 12/15/2006 Page 25 of 28   |
|--|---|
| Page 6                                       | 8 Page 70   |
| 1 long afterwards. Jennifer                  | 1 allegations of your having some   |
| 2 Langford. Marty Miller we                  | 2 involvement with Ms. DiBello? How did   |
| 3 received extensive documents on.           | 3 that come to your attention?  |
| 4 Linda Vish, Lisa Stollard, Bruce           | 4 A. Other inmates told me.   |
| 5 Allen and CO Lawfton (phonetic).           | 5 Q. Did any officers mention it?   |
| 6 Those are the names that have              | 6 A. I don't really recall.   |
| 7 arisen during one deposition or            | 7 Q. Were you interviewed by anybody  |
| 8 another. And there may be                  | 8 on the staff about the allegations?   |
| 9 others.                                    | 9 A. I don't recall if I was or not,  |
| 10 BY ATTORNEY KRAKOFF:                      | 10 sir.   |
| 11 Q. Okay, you've heard those names         | 11 Q. Philip David Schmitt, are you   |
| 12 and I think we left off with Zimmerman.   | 12 aware of either any investigation being  |
| 13 Paul Walton were you involved in an       | 13 conducted about him or allegations   |
| 14 investigation of Paul Walton and an       | 14 against Officer Schmitt?   |
| 15 inmate by the name of Emma Glacko         | 15 A. Yes, sir.   |
|  | 16 O. Since that was a compound   |
| 16 (phonetic)?                               | 17 question, I'll clarify it. Are you aware   |
| 17 A. Yes, sir.                              | 18 of any investigation of Officer Schmidt?   |
| 18 Q. Okay. So I can add that to the         | 19 A. Yes, sir.   |
| 19 list of cases that you investigated?      | 20 Q. Was that conducted by your  |
| 20 A. I was involved in that                 | 21 office, by the intelligence office?  |
| 21 investigation, yes.                       | 22 A. Yes, sir.   |
| 22 Q. You already mentioned Jim Mary.        | 23 Q. Do you know who conducted that?   |
| 23 Jerome Coffee, did you hear anything      | 24 Was that out of OPR?   |
| 24 about Jerome Coffee allegations of any    | 25 A. That's correct, sir.  |
| 25 sexual proprieties on his part in         | D 71  |
| Page 6                                       |   |
| relation to an inmate?                       | 1 Q. When OPR investigates, do you  |
| 2 A. I heard his name was mentioned, I       | 2 receive a copy of the report after  |
| 3 have no knowledge of any investigation     | 3 they've issued a report?  |
| 4 going on.                                  | 4 A. No, sir.   |
| 5 Q. Are you familiar with the name          | 5 Q. Are you apprised of — I  |
| 6 Arita I'm not sure if I'm pronouncing      | 6 remember you talked about how you would   |
| 7 the first name Diaz is her last name.      | 7 update the superintendent as  |
| 8 They call her the cat or something of      | 8 investigations were going along. Would  |
| 9 that sort? Marisa Diaz.                    | 9 OPR update you or the captain, to your  |
| 10 A. We have an inmate here named           | 10 knowledge, about their investigations and  |
| 11 Marisa Diaz.                              | 11 how they were going along?   |
| 12 Q. Right. That's who I'm talking          | 12 A. They never updated me, no, sir.   |
| 13 about. Was she the subject of an          | 13 Q. Would you even be officially  |
| 14 investigation, her involvement with any   | 14 informed that there was an OPR   |
| 15 officers?                                 | 15 investigation or is this simply something  |
| 16 A. I don't know, sir.                     | 16 you would hear through the grapevine?  |
| 17 Q. Are you aware of any allegations       | 17 A. I would probably be apprised of   |
| 18 that you were involved with an inmate by  | 18 the investigation if we requested them to  |
| 19 the name of Margilene DiBello (phonetic)? | 19 become involved.   |
| 20 Have you heard that before today?         | 20 Q. So did your office request that A 183   |
| 21 A. Yes.                                   | 21 OPR investigate Officer Schmidt?   |
| 22 Q. Do you know whether you were           | 22 A. I don't recall, sir.  |
| · • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •      |   |
| 123 investigated?                            | 23 Q. What about Officer Rogers? Are  |
| 23 investigated?                             | 23 Q. What about Officer Rogers? Are  |
| 24 A. I don't know that I was.               |   |
|  | <ul><li>Q. What about Officer Rogers? Are</li><li>you aware of any investigation of Officer</li></ul> |

|   | Page 72 Page 7  |
|---|---|
| 1 A. I'm not aware there was an                     | 1 A. Yes, sir. Yes, it is.  |
| 2 investigation.                                    | <sup>2</sup> Q. How did you you saw this at                                     |
| 3 Q. Did you hear any allegations                   | 3 some point?   |
| 4 against Officer Rogers in connection with         | 4 A. Yes, sir, I did.   |
| 5 any supposed sexual improprieties?                | 5 Q. And was it something that did  |
| 6 A. I believe his name was mentioned,              | 6 you get a copy of this in your office or                                      |
| 7 yes, sir.   | 7 how did you come to see this?   |
| 8 Q. Do you remember the circumstances              | 8 A. It's part of the Lambert file,   |
| 9 of what he allegedly had done?                    | 9 sir.  |
| 10 A. No, sir, I don't recall that.                 | 10 Q. Did you conduct any investigation   |
| 11 Q. Wayne Young. Had you do you                   | 11 to either confirm or refute allegations                                      |
| 12 know whether he was investigated either          | 12 about the personnel named in this  |
| 13 by your office or by OPR?                        | 13 document?  |
| 14 A. I don't recall, sir.                          | 14 A. No, sir. Not that I recall.   |
| 15 Q. Did you hear any allegations                  | 15 Q. Do you know whether Captain   |
| 16 about him?                                       | 16 Lazenbee conducted an investigation?   |
| 17 A. Yes, sir.                                     | 17 A. I have no knowledge of that, sir.   |
| 18 Q. Do you recall the general nature              | 18 Q. Do you know whether Captain   |
| 19 of those allegations?                            | 19 Bartlett conducted an investigation?   |
| 20 A. No, sir, I don't.                             | 20 A. Again, I have no knowledge of   |
| 21 Q. Did you just hear this kind of                | 21 that, sir.   |
| 22 like through the grapevine? Was it               | 22 Q. And I take it you would not have  |
| 23 through other staff members, through             | 23 knowledge of whether OPR investigated  |
| 24 other inmates or?                                | 24 these allegations; is that correct?  |
| 25 A. Both, sir.                                    | 25 A. That's correct, sir.  |
|   | Dog 72  |
| 1 Q. Both. What about Harry Stewart?                | - 1 ago 13  |
| 2 Are you aware of any investigation of             | 1 Q. So were you aware are you  |
| 3 Harry Stewart either by your office or by         | <ul><li>2 aware of anybody investigating these</li><li>3 allegations?</li></ul> |
| 4 OPR?  |   |
| 5 A. I have no knowledge of that, sir.              |   |
| 6 Q. Did you hear anything about                    | 5 Q. Did you become aware of any  |
| 7 allegations against Harry Stewart?                | 6 allegations involving Emanuel Monteho   |
| 8 A. Yes, sir.                                      | 7 other than the allegations that are   |
| 9 Q. What did you hear?                             | 8 contained in Exhibit 125? Did you hear  |
| 10 A. These people that you're                      | 9 allegations from any other source?  |
| 11 mentioning came from a list of names that        | 10 A. No, sir.  |
| 12 Lambert wrote saying that these people           | 11 Q. Are you aware of any  |
| 13 did certain things.                              | 12 investigation conducted by your office of                                    |
| 14 Q. All of these?                                 | 13 Arnold DeQueen (phonetic)?   |
| 15 A. Yes. Most of those names, yes.                | 14 A. Who?  |
| 6 Q. Because I can show you the list.               | 15 Q. He might not have been here when  |
| 7 A. I won't say most, but some of the              | 16 you were here. Arnold DeQueen, a laundry                                     |
| 18 names.   | 17 supervisor?  |
|   | 18 A. I know who you mean.  |
| 9 Q. Okay. Did you receive a I'll                   | 19 Q. Are you aware of any A 184  |
| 0 show you Exhibit 125 and see if this is           | 20 investigation conducted?   |
| 1 the list that you're referring to.                | 21 A. Not at all, sir.  |
| 2 WITNESS REVIEWS EXHIBIT<br>3 BY ATTORNEY KRAKOFF: | 22 Q. Okay. Now, have you ever  |
|   | 23 participated in a discussion with  |
| 4 Q. The next two pages. Is this the                | 24 Superintendent Wolf or been present when                                     |
| 5 list that you're referring to?                    | 25 Superintendent Wolf expressed concerns                                       |

| 1 | about | the | level | of | sexual | exploitation | OΓ |
|---|-------|-----|-------|----|--------|--------------|----|
|---|-------|-----|-------|----|--------|--------------|----|

- 2 sexual abuse of inmates by Cambridge
- 3 Springs personnel?
- 4 A. Could you repeat that?
- 5 O. Yes. Have you ever been present
- 6 when Superintendent Wolf expressed
- 7 concern over the level of sexual
- 8 exploitation or sexual abuse by staff
- 9 here against inmates?
- Yes, sir. 10 A.
- 11 O. Do you remember when that
- 12 occurred?
- 13 A. Not specifically. No, sir.
- 14 Q. Can you give me an estimate of
- 15 the approximate time period that you
- 16 recall him expressing that concern? You
- 17 came here in April --- I'm sorry. You
- 18 became the intelligence captain in April
- 19 of 1994. You came to the institution
- 20 earlier than that. Was it prior to the
- 21 time that you became the intelligence
- 22 captain or the intelligence --- the
- 23 security lieutenant or was it after that
- 24 you heard him express his concerns?
- 25 A. It was after I became security

- One on one between you and him?

Page 78

Page 79

- 3 A. Yes, sir.
- Did he --- other than expressing
- 5 that concern, did he say anything else
- 6 about the level of alleged exploitation
- 7 or abuse?
- I'm sorry, I don't understand. 8 A.
- Well, did he --- I don't want to
- 10 put words in your mouth. He made that
- 11 expression, but did he say what should be
- 12 done or give any directives or whatever?
- He said that he was quite
- 14 concerned about it and we often talked
- 15 about resolutions.
- 16 O. What kinds of resolutions were
- 17 discussed?
- 18 A. More training on sexual
- 19 harassment. The introduction of cameras
- 20 and things of that nature.
- 21 Q. In areas in what kinds of
- 22 areas was he talking about the
- 23 introduction of cameras?
- 24 A. In all the areas of the
- 25 institution.

Page 77

- I Q. Okay. Where did they exist when
  - 2 he started talking about cameras? Did
  - 3 you have cameras anywhere in the
  - 4 institution?
  - 5 A. Not at that time, no, sir.
  - 6 Q. Do you know --- at some point in
  - 7 time were cameras introduced at the
  - 8 institution?
  - 9 A. Yes, sir.
  - 10 Q. Do you know approximately when
  - 11 the cameras began to be installed?
  - 12 A. It was this year.
  - 13 Q. Okay. Were they installed in any
  - 14 --- why don't you tell me, you know,
  - 15 where they were installed?
  - 16 A. It's been an ongoing process.
  - 17 Q. Why don't you tell me about where
  - 18 to date so far the places you can recall
  - 19 where they are now?
  - 20 A. They're installed in all the
    - A 185
  - 21 housing units, dietary, visiting room,
  - 22 control, personnel.
  - 23 O. Any of the basement areas, where
  - 24 the shops are?
  - 25 A. No basement areas. Well, the

1 lieutenant.

- 2 Q. Do you believe that it occurred
- 3 between April of 1994 and January of 1996
- 4 or do you believe it occurred after
- 5 January of 1996? I'm giving you the
- 6 period from the time you became a
- 7 security lieutenant on January 1st, 1996.
- 8 A. It occurred during that period.
- During which period? Between
- 10 April of '94 and January 1st of '96 or
- 11 the second period? That would be from
- 12 January of 1996 later.
- The first period. 13 A.
- 14 O. How many times --- strike that.
- Was it more than once you heard 15
- 16 the superintendent express such a
- 17 concern?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Do you remember the context when
- 20 he expressed such a concern? In other
- 21 words, was it a meeting of some sort, was
- 22 it a situation where you were in his
- 23 office? Was it some other circumstance?
- 24 A. To the best of my knowledge it
- 25 came up at staff meetings, operations

| Pa   | ge 80 Page                                   |
|--|--|
| 1 basement of Curry Hall, yes.             | 1 A. Basically adhere to the mandated        |
| 2 Q. That's where the shops are?           | 2 training and on the sexual harassment.     |
| 3 A. What, sir?                            | <sup>3</sup> Q. Okay.                        |
| 4 Q. Are the shops there?                  | 4 A. More staff awareness.                   |
| 5 A. No. The shops are on the first        | 5 Q. Do you recall whether after he          |
| 6 floor?                                   | 6 first expressed that, the need for more    |
| 7 Q. What's in the basement?               | 7 training, did he do you know whether       |
| 8 A. A music room, an activity room.       | 8 anymore whether any additional or          |
| 9 Q. Are they in the hallways of Curry     | 9 different training was introduced at       |
| 10 Hall now?                               | 10 Cambridge Springs?                        |
| 11 A. Yes, sir.                            | 11 A. In reference just to                   |
| 12 Q. Are they anywhere else in the        | 12 Q. Yes. To the issue                      |
| 13 basement area? Are they in the rooms    | 13 A sexual?                                 |
| 14 themselves?                             | 14 Q of sexual, exactly.                     |
| 15 A. Not in the rooms themselves, no,     | 15 A. Not that I'm aware of.                 |
| 16 sir.                                    | 16 Q. Did the superintendent suggest         |
| 17 Q. Okay. Did the superintendent say     | 17 anything else to address the problem of   |
| 18 why cameras why he thought cameras      | 18 exploitation or sexual harassment with    |
| 19 were why he wanted cameras to be        | 19 inmates?                                  |
| 20 installed? Did he say what the benefit  | 20 A. I think he clarified that he           |
| 21 would be from having cameras installed? | 21 wanted more supervision, more awareness,  |
| 22 A. Yes.                                 | 22 more documentation.                       |
| 23 Q. What did he say?                     | 23 Q. Documentation of what, movements       |
| 24 A. Specifically?                        | 24 or?                                       |
| 25 Q. Well, not verbatim, but why          | 25 A. Documentation of any of the            |
| Pag  | 0.1  |
| 1 cameras? What were they?                 | Page 8                                       |
| 2 A. Basically for the security.           | 1 incidents that occurred.                   |
| 3 Q. Right, I understand that. How is      | 2 Q. Did he express any criticism of         |
| 4 it according to what he said, how is it  | 3 the existing way incidents of that nature  |
| 5 going to help security?                  | 4 were being documented? Did he say they     |
| 6 A. We would enhance our ability to       | 5 were too vague, did he say they weren't    |
| 7 monitor staff and inmate movement.       | 6 detailed enough?                           |
| 8 Q. You'd be able to see what's going     | 7 A. He didn't reflect that to me,           |
| 9 on                                       | 8 sir.                                       |
| O A. Yes, sir.                             | 9 Q. Okay. Do you whether any changes        |
|  | 10 in the way incidents were documented      |
| 1 Q. — in areas that you couldn't          | 11 occurred after he brought that issue up?  |
| 2 see unless you were there?               | 12 A. No, sir.                               |
| 3 A. Correct.                              | 13 Q. Do you know whether there was any      |
| 4 Q. You said something about more         | 14 training of the staff in connection with  |
| 5 training; is that right? That was        | 15 the documentation of incidents to improve |
| 6 another way that Superintendent Wolf     | 16 the documentation after the after         |
| 7 wanted to address the problem; is that   | 17 Superintendent Wolf raised that issue?    |
| 8 correct?                                 | 18 A. Not that I'm aware of, No.             |
| 9 A. Yes, sir.                             | 19 Q. Okay. Now, did you have any A 186      |
| Q. Was he was anything did                 | 20 similar discussions with Deputy           |
| he say anything specific about what kinds  | 21 Superintendent Auts in connection with    |
| of training he was talking about and who   | 22 the exploitation or sexual abuse of       |
| 3 for?                                     | 23 inmates by staff? You've talked about     |
| 4 A. It was for all staff.                 | 24 Wolf. Did you hear any of the same        |
| 5 Q. Did he say the kind of training?      | 25 things from Deputy Superintendent Auts?   |
| age 80 - Page 83                           |  |